arXiv:1505.05928v1 [math.QA] 22 May 2015

ON THE MINIMAL AFFINIZATIONS OVER THE QUANTUM AFFINE ALGEBRAS OF TYPE C_n

XIN-YANG FENG , JIAN-RONG LI , YAN-FENG LUO*

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study the minimal affinizations over the quantum affine algebras of type C_n by using the theory of cluster algebras. We show that the q-characters of a large family of minimal affinizations of type C_n satisfy some systems of equations. These equations correspond to mutation equations of some cluster algebras. Furthermore, we show that the minimal affinizations in these equations correspond to cluster variables in these cluster algebras.

Key words: quantum affine algebras of type C_n; cluster algebras; minimal affinizations; q-characters; Frenkel-Mukhin algorithm

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 17B37; 13F60

1. INTRODUCTION

Quantum groups are introduced independently by Jimbo [Jim85] and Drinfeld [Dri87]. Quantum affine algebras $U_q\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ form a family of infinite-dimensional quantum groups. Although the representation theory of quantum affine algebras are studied intensively over the past few decades, the structure of the category $\mathrm{Rep}(U_q\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$ of finite dimensional $U_q\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-modules is far from being understood. For example, general decomposition formulas for tensor products of simple $U_q\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-modules have not been found. The Weyl character formula for general $U_q\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-modules has not been found.

Fomin and Zelevinsky in [FZ02] introduced the theory of cluster algebras. It has many applications including quiver representations, Teichmüller theory, tropical geometry, integrable systems, and Poisson geometry.

The aim of this paper is to apply the theory of cluster algebras to study the minimal affinizations over the quantum affine algebras of type C_n.

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a simple Lie algebra and $U_q\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ the corresponding quantum affine algebra. Hernandez and Leclerc found a remarkable connection between cluster algebras and finite dimensional representations of $U_q\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ in [HL10]. They show that the Grothendieck ring of some subcategories of the category of all finite dimensional representations of $U_q\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ have cluster algebra structures. In the paper [HL13], they apply the theory of cluster algebras to study the q-characters a family of $U_q\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-modules called Kirillov-Reshetkhin modules.

The family of minimal affinizations over $U_q\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ is an important family of simple $U_q\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-modules which was introduced in [C95]. The family of minimal affinizations contains the Kirillov-Reshetikhin modules. Minimal affinizations are studied intensively in recent years, see for examples, [CG11], [CMY13], [Her07], [Li15], [LM13], [M10], [MP07], [MP11], [MY12a], [MY12b], [MY14], [Nao13], [Nao14], [QL14], [SS14], [ZDLL15].

---

*Corresponding author.

M-systems and dual M-systems of types A_n, B_n, G_2 are introduced in [ZDLL15], [QL14] to study the minimal affinizations of types A_n, B_n, G_2. Recently, two closed systems which contain a large family of minimal affinizations of type F_4 are studied in [DLL15]. The equations in these systems are satisfied by the q-characters of minimal affinizations of types A_n, B_n, G_2 and F_4. The minimal affinizations of type C_n are much more complicated than the minimal affinizations of types A_n, B_n, G_2. In types A_n, B_n, G_2, all minimal affinizations are special or anti-special, [Her07], [LM13]. But in type C_n, there are minimal affinizations which are neither special nor anti-special, [Her07]. Here a $U_q\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module V is called special (resp. anti-special) if there is only one dominant (resp. anti-dominant) monomial in the q-character of V.

In this paper, we find two closed systems which contain a large family of minimal affinizations of type C_n, Theorem 3.3, Theorem 5.4. The equations in these closed systems are of the form

$$[\mathcal{T}_1][\mathcal{T}_2] = [\mathcal{T}_3][\mathcal{T}_4] + [\mathcal{S}],$$

where $\mathcal{T}_i$, $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, are minimal affinizations of type C_n and $\mathcal{S}$ is some simple module. We show these equations are satisfied by the q-characters of the modules in the systems. We prove that the modules in the system in Theorem 3.3 are special (Theorem 3.2) and the modules in the system in Theorem 5.4 are anti-special (Theorem 5.2).

Moreover, we show that every equation in Theorem 3.3 (resp. Theorem 5.4) corresponds to a mutation equation in some cluster algebra $\mathscr{A}$ (resp. $\widetilde{\mathscr{A}}$). Furthermore, every minimal affinization in Theorem 3.3 (resp. Theorem 5.4) corresponds to a cluster variable in the cluster algebra $\mathscr{A}$ (resp. $\widetilde{\mathscr{A}}$), Theorem 4.1 (resp. Theorem 5.6). Therefore we have verified that the Hernandez-Leclerc conjecture (Conjecture 13.2 in [HL10] and Conjecture 9.1 in [Le10]) is true for the minimal affinizations in Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 5.4.

The equations in Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 5.4 can be used to compute the q-characters of the modules in Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 5.4. By using these equations, we can also obtain the ordinary characters of the modules in Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 5.4. A $U_q\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module is also a $U_q\mathfrak{g}$-module since $U_q\mathfrak{g}$ is isomorphic to a subalgebra of $U_q\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$. Usually a simple $U_q\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module V is not simple when V is considered as a $U_q\mathfrak{g}$-module. Let V be a minimal affinization in Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 5.4. The equations in Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 5.4 can be used to compute the decomposition formula of V when we decompose V into simple $U_q\mathfrak{g}$-modules.

In [Li15], the minimal affinizations over $U_q\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ of type C_3 is studied by using the method of extended T-systems. The extended T-system of type C_3 is much more complicated than the systems in Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 5.4. The extended T-system of type C_3 contains not only minimal affinizations but also some other kinds of modules.

Let us describe the organization of the present paper. In Section 2, we give some background information about cluster algebras and representation theory of quantum affine algebras. In Section 3, we write a system of equations satisfied by a large family of minimal affinizations of type C_n explicitly, Theorem 3.3. In Section 4, we study the relationship between the system in Theorem 3.3 and cluster algebras. In Section 5, we study the dual system of the system in Theorem 3.3. In Section 6, Section 7 and Section 8, we prove Theorem 3.2, Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 3.6 given in Section 3, respectively.

2. Background

2.1. **Cluster algebras.** Fomin and Zelevinsky in [FZ02] introduced the theory of cluster algebras. Let $\mathbb{Q}$ be the field of rational numbers and $\mathcal{F} = \mathbb{Q}(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n)$ the field of rational functions. A seed in $\mathcal{F}$ is a pair $\Sigma = (\mathbf{y}, Q)$, where $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2, \cdots, y_n)$ is a free generating set of $\mathcal{F}$, and Q is a quiver with vertices labeled by $\{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$. Assume that Q has neither loops nor 2-cycles. For $k = 1, 2, \cdots, n$, one defines a mutation μ_k by $\mu_k(\mathbf{y}, Q) = (\mathbf{y}', Q')$. Here $\mathbf{y}' = (y_1', \ldots, y_n')$, $y_i' = y_i$, for $i \neq k$, and

$$y_k' = \frac{\prod_{i \to k} y_i + \prod_{k \to j} y_j}{y_k}, \tag{2.1}$$

where the first (resp. second) product in the right hand side is over all arrows of Q with target (resp. source) k, and Q' is obtained from Q by

(i) adding a new arrow $i \to j$ for every existing pair of arrow $i \to k$ and $k \to j$;
(ii) reversing the orientation of every arrow with target or source equal to k;
(iii) erasing every pair of opposite arrows possible created by (i).

The mutation class $\mathcal{C}(\Sigma)$ is the set of all seeds obtained from Σ by a finite sequence of mutation μ_k. If $\Sigma' = ((y_1', y_2', \cdots, y_n'), Q')$ is a seed in $\mathcal{C}(\Sigma)$, then the subset $\{y_1', y_2', \cdots, y_n'\}$ is called a *cluster*, and its elements are called *cluster variables.* The *cluster algebra* $\mathscr{A}_\Sigma$ as the subring of $\mathcal{F}$ generated by all cluster variables. *Cluster monomials* are monomials in the cluster variables supported on a single cluster.

In this paper, the initial seed in the cluster algebra we use is of the form $\Sigma = (\mathbf{y}, Q)$, where $\mathbf{y}$ is an infinite set and Q is an infinite quiver.

Definition 2.1 (Definition 3.1, [GG14]). *Let Q be a quiver without loops or 2-cycles and with a countably infinite number of vertices labelled by all integers $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Furthermore, for each vertex i of Q let the number of arrows incident with i be finite. Let $\mathbf{y} = \{y_i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. An infinite initial seed is the pair $(\mathbf{y}, Q)$. By finite sequences of mutation at vertices of Q and simultaneous mutation of the set $\mathbf{y}$ using the exchange relation (2.1), one obtains a family of infinite seeds. The sets of variables in these seeds are called the infinite clusters and their elements are called the cluster variables. The cluster algebra of infinite rank of type Q is the subalgebra of $\mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{y})$ generated by the cluster variables.*

2.2. Quantum affine algebras of type C_n.

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a simple Lie algebra of type C_n and $I = \{1, \ldots, n\}$ the indices of the Dynkin diagram of $\mathfrak{g}$ (we use the same labeling of the vertices of the Dynkin diagram of $\mathfrak{g}$ as the one used in [Car05]). Let $C = (C_{ij})_{i,j \in I}$ be the Cartan matrix of $\mathfrak{g}$, where $C_{ij} = \frac{2(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)}{(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)}$.

The quantum affine algebra $U_q\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ in Drinfeld's new realization, see [Dri88], is generated by $x_{i,n}^{\pm}$ $(i \in I, n \in \mathbb{Z})$, $k_i^{\pm 1}$ $(i \in I)$, $h_{i,n}$ $(i \in I, n \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash \{0\})$ and central elements $c^{\pm 1/2}$, subject to certain relations.

2.3. Finite-dimensional $U_q\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-modules and their q-characters.

In this section, we recall the standard facts about finite-dimensional $U_q\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-modules and their q-characters, see [CP94], [CP95a], [FR98], [MY12a].

Let $\mathcal{P}$ be the free abelian multiplicative group of monomials in infinitely many formal variables $(Y_{i,a})_{i \in I, a \in \mathbb{C}^\times}$ and let $\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{P} = \mathbb{Z}[Y_{i,a}^{\pm 1}]_{i \in I, a \in \mathbb{C}^\times}$ be the group ring of $\mathcal{P}$.

For each $j \in I$, a monomial $m = \prod_{i \in I, a \in \mathbb{C}^\times} Y_{i,a}^{u_{i,a}} \in \mathcal{P}$, where $u_{i,a}$ are some integers, is said to be *j-dominant* (resp. *j-anti-dominant*) if and only if $u_{j,a} \geq 0$ (resp. $u_{j,a} \leq 0$) for all $a \in \mathbb{C}^\times$. A monomial is called *dominant* (resp. *anti-dominant*) if and only if it is j-dominant (resp. j-anti-dominant) for all $j \in I$. Let $\mathcal{P}^+ \subset \mathcal{P}$ denote the set of all dominant monomials.

A finite-dimensional $U_q\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module is parameterized by a dominant monomial in $\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{P}$. Given a dominant monomial m, there is a unique finite-dimensional $U_q\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module $L(m)$, [CP94], [CP95a].

The theory of q-characters is introduced in [FR98]. The q-character of a $U_q\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module V is given by

$$\chi_q(V) = \sum_{m \in \mathcal{P}} \dim(V_m) m \in \mathbb{Z}\mathcal{P},$$

where V_m is the l-weight space with l-weight m, see [FR98]. We use $\mathscr{M}(V)$ to denote the set of all monomials in $\chi_q(V)$ for a finite-dimensional $U_q\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module V. For $m_+ \in \mathcal{P}^+$, we use $\chi_q(m_+)$ to denote $\chi_q(L(m_+))$. We also write $m \in \chi_q(m_+)$ if $m \in \mathscr{M}(\chi_q(m_+))$.

The following lemma is well-known.

Lemma 2.2. *Let m_1, m_2 be two monomials. Then $L(m_1m_2)$ is a sub-quotient of $L(m_1)\otimes L(m_2)$. In particular, $\mathscr{M}(L(m_1m_2)) \subseteq \mathscr{M}(L(m_1))\mathscr{M}(L(m_2))$.* $\Box$

A finite-dimensional $U_q\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module V is said to be *special* if and only if $\mathscr{M}(V)$ contains exactly one dominant monomial. It is called *anti-special* if and only if $\mathscr{M}(V)$ contains exactly one anti-dominant monomial. It is said to be *prime* if and only if it is not isomorphic to a tensor product of two non-trivial $U_q\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-modules, see [CP97]. Clearly, if a module is special or anti-special, then it is simple.

The elements $A_{i,a} \in \mathcal{P}, i \in I, a \in \mathbb{C}^\times$, are defined by

$$A_{i,a} = Y_{i,aq_i} Y_{i,aq_i^{-1}} \prod_{C_{ji}=-1} Y_{j,a}^{-1} \prod_{C_{ji}=-2} Y_{j,aq}^{-1} Y_{j,aq^{-1}}^{-1} \prod_{C_{ji}=-3} Y_{j,aq^2}^{-1} Y_{j,a}^{-1} Y_{j,aq^{-2}}^{-1},$$

see Section 2.3 in [FM01].

Let $\mathcal{Q}$ be the subgroup of $\mathcal{P}$ generated by $A_{i,a}, i \in I, a \in \mathbb{C}^\times$. Let $\mathcal{Q}^\pm$ be the monoids generated by $A_{i,a}^{\pm 1}, i \in I, a \in \mathbb{C}^\times$. There is a partial order $\leq$ on $\mathcal{P}$ defined as follows:

$$m \leq m' \text{ if and only if } m'm^{-1} \in \mathcal{Q}^+. \tag{2.2}$$

For all $m_+ \in \mathcal{P}^+$, $\mathscr{M}(L(m_+)) \subset m_+\mathcal{Q}^-$, see [FM01].

We will need the concept of *right negative* which is introduced in Section 6 of [FM01].

Definition 2.3. *A monomial m is called right negative if for all $a \in \mathbb{C}^\times$, for $L = \max\{l \in \mathbb{Z} \mid u_{i,aq^l}(m) \neq 0$ for some $i \in I\}$ we have $u_{j,aq^L}(m) \leq 0$ for $j \in I$.*

For $i \in I, a \in \mathbb{C}^\times$, $A_{i,a}^{-1}$ is right-negative. A product of right-negative monomials is right-negative. If m is right-negative and $m' \leq m$, then m' is right-negative, see [FM01], [Her06].

As $A_{i,a}^{-1}$ are algebraically independent, for M a product of $A_{i,a}^{-1}$ one can define $v_{i,a}(M) \geq 0$ by $M = \prod_{i\in I, a \in \mathbb{C}^\times} A_{i,a}^{-v_{i,a}(m)}$. Let $v_i(M) = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{C}^\times} v_{i,a}(M)$ and $v(M) = \sum_{i \in I} v_i(M)$, [Her08].

For $J \subset I$, we denote by $U_q\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_J \subset U_q\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ the subalgebra generated by the $x_{i,m}^{\pm}, h_{i,m}, k_i^{\pm}$ for $i \in J$. For $i \in I$, we denote $U_q\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_i = U_q\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\{i\}} \simeq U_{q_i}\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$.

The following theorem is useful to show that some monomial is not in the q-character of a $U_q\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module.

Theorem 2.4 (Theorem 5.1, [Her08])**.** *Let $V = L(m)$ be a simple $U_q\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module. Suppose that $m' < m$ and $i \in I$ satisfy the following conditions:*

(i) there is a unique i-dominant $M \in (\mathscr{M}(V) \cap m'\mathbb{Z}[A_{i,a}]_{a\in\mathbb{C}^\times}) - \{m'\}$ and its coefficient is 1,

(ii) $\sum_{r\in\mathbb{Z}} x_{i,r}^{+}(V_M)=\{0\}$,

(iii) *m' is not a monomial of $L_i(M)$,*

(iv) *if $m''\in\mathscr{M}(U_q\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_iV_M)$ is i-dominant, then $v(m''m^{-1})\geq v(m'm^{-1})$,*

(v) *for all $j\neq i$, $\{m''\in\mathscr{M}(V)\mid v(m''m^{-1})<v(m'm^{-1})\}\cap m'\mathbb{Z}[A_{j,a}^{\pm1}]_{a\in\mathbb{C}^\times}=\emptyset$.*

Then $m'\notin\mathscr{M}(V)$.

2.4. **Minimal affinizations of type C_n.** From now on, we fix an $a\in\mathbb{C}^\times$ and denote $i_s=Y_{i,aq^s}$, $i\in I$, $s\in\mathbb{Z}$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that a simple $U_q\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module $L(m_+)$ of type C_n is a minimal affinization of $V(\lambda)$ if and only if m_+ is one of the following monomials

$$\widetilde{T}^{(s)}_{k_1,k_2,\ldots,k_n}=\left(\prod_{i_n=0}^{k_n-1} n_{s+4i_n}\right)\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}\left(\prod_{i_{n-j}=0}^{k_{n-j}-1}(n-j)_{s+4k_n+2\sum_{p=1}^{j-1}k_{n-p}+2i_{n-j}+j}\right),$$

$$T^{(s)}_{k_1,k_2,\ldots,k_n}=\left(\prod_{i_n=0}^{k_n-1} n_{-s-4i_n}\right)\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}\left(\prod_{i_{n-j}=0}^{k_{n-j}-1}(n-j)_{-s-4k_n-2\sum_{p=1}^{j-1}k_{n-p}-2i_{n-j}-j}\right),$$

where $k_1,\ldots k_n\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq0}$, see [CP96a].

We write $T^{(s)}_{0,0,\ldots,0}=1$ for any $s\in\mathbb{Z}$. We denote A^{-1}_{i,aq^s} by $A^{-1}_{i,s}$. For a dominant monomial T, we use $\mathcal{T}$ to denote $L(T)$ the simple $U_q\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module with heighest l-weight T. For example, $\mathcal{T}^{(s)}_{k_1,k_2,\ldots,k_n}=L(T^{(s)}_{k_1,k_2,\ldots,k_n})$, where $k_1,\ldots k_n\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq0}$.

2.5. **The modules $\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}^{(s)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_{n-2},k_{n-1},0}$ and $\mathcal{S}^{(s)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_{n-2},k_{n-1},0}$.** For $s\in\mathbb{Z}$, $k_1,\ldots,k_{n-2}\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq0}$, $k_{n-1}\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq1}$, we will need the modules $\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}^{(s)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_{n-2},k_{n-1},0}$ and $\mathcal{S}^{(s)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_{n-2},k_{n-1},0}$ with the following heighest l-weights

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{S}^{(s)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_{n-2},k_{n-1},0}&=\widetilde{T}^{(s)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_{n-2},k_{n-1},0}\widetilde{T}^{(s+2k_{n-1}+4)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_{n-2}-2,0,0}\quad(k_{n-2}\geq2),\\
S^{(s)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_{n-2},k_{n-1},0}&=T^{(s)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_{n-2},k_{n-1},0}T^{(s+2k_{n-1}+4)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_{n-2}-2,0,0}\quad(k_{n-2}\geq2),\\
\widetilde{S}^{(s)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_{n-2},k_{n-1},0}&=\widetilde{T}^{(s)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_{n-2},k_{n-1},0}\widetilde{T}^{(s+2k_{n-1}+4)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_{n-3}-1,0,0,0}\quad(k_{n-2}=1),\\
S^{(s)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_{n-2},k_{n-1},0}&=T^{(s)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_{n-2},k_{n-1},0}T^{(s+2k_{n-1}+4)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_{n-3}-1,0,0,0}\quad(k_{n-2}=1),
\end{aligned}$$

respectively.

We use $T^{(s)}_{k_1,\ldots,\underset{i}{k_i},\ldots,k_{n-1},k_n}$ to indicate that k_i is in the i-th position. For example, the 1 in $T^{(s)}_{0,\ldots,0,\underset{i}{1},0,\ldots,0,k_{n-1},0}$ is in the i-th position.

Remark 2.5. *For $k_1,\ldots,k_{n-2}\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq0},k_{n-1}\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq1},s\in\mathbb{Z}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{S}^{(s)}_{0,\ldots,0,\underset{m}{1},0,\ldots,0,\underset{l}{1},0,\ldots,0,k_{n-1},0}&=\widetilde{T}^{(s)}_{0,\ldots,0,\underset{m}{1},0,\ldots,0,\underset{l}{1},0,\ldots,0,k_{n-1},0},\\
S^{(s)}_{0,\ldots,0,\underset{m}{1},0,\ldots,0,\underset{l}{1},0,\ldots,0,k_{n-1},0}&=T^{(s)}_{0,\ldots,0,\underset{m}{1},0,\ldots,0,\underset{l}{1},0,\ldots,0,k_{n-1},0},\\
\widetilde{S}^{(s)}_{0,\ldots,0,\underset{l}{1},0,\ldots,0,k_{n-1},0}&=\widetilde{T}^{(s)}_{0,\ldots,0,\underset{l}{1},0,\ldots,0,k_{n-1},0},\\
S^{(s)}_{0,\ldots,0,\underset{l}{1},0,\ldots,0,k_{n-1},0}&=T^{(s)}_{0,\ldots,0,\underset{l}{1},0,\ldots,0,k_{n-1},0},
\end{aligned}$$

where $1 \leq m < l \leq n-2$.

2.6. **q-characters of $U_q\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$-modules and the Frenkel-Mukhin algorithm.** We recall the results of the q-characters of $U_q\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$-modules which are well-understood, see [CP91], [FR98].

Let $W_k^{(a)}$ be the irreducible representation $U_q\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$ with highest weight monomial

$$X_k^{(a)} = \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} Y_{aq^{k-2i-1}},$$

where $Y_a = Y_{1,a}$. Then the q-character of $W_k^{(a)}$ is given by

$$\chi_q(W_k^{(a)}) = X_k^{(a)} \sum_{i=0}^{k} \prod_{j=0}^{i-1} A^{-1}_{aq^{k-2j}},$$

where $A_a = Y_{aq^{-1}} Y_{aq}$.

For $a \in \mathbb{C}^\times, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, the set $\Sigma_k^{(a)} = \{aq^{k-2i-1}\}_{i=0,\ldots,k-1}$ is called a *string.* Two strings $\Sigma_k^{(a)}$ and $\Sigma_{k'}^{(a')}$ are said to be in *general position* if the union $\Sigma_k^{(a)} \cup \Sigma_{k'}^{(a')}$ is not a string or $\Sigma_k^{(a)} \subset \Sigma_{k'}^{(a')}$ or $\Sigma_{k'}^{(a')} \subset \Sigma_k^{(a)}$.

Denote by $\chi_q(m_+)$ the simple $U_q\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$-module with highest weight monomial m_+. Let $m_+ \neq 1$ and $\in \mathbb{Z}[Y_a]_{a\in\mathbb{C}^\times}$ be a dominant monomial. Then m_+ can be uniquely (up to permutation) written in the form

$$m_+ = \prod_{i=1}^{s} \left(\prod_{b \in \Sigma_{k_i}^{(a_i)}} Y_b \right),$$

where s is an integer, $\Sigma_{k_i}^{(a_i)}, i = 1, \ldots, s$, are strings which are pairwise in general position and

$$L(m_+) = \bigotimes_{i=1}^{s} W_{k_i}^{(a_i)}, \qquad \chi_q(L(m_+)) = \prod_{i=1}^{s} \chi_q(W_{k_i}^{(a_i)}).$$

For $j \in I$, let

$$\beta_j : \mathbb{Z}[Y_{i,a}^{\pm 1}]_{i\in I; a\in\mathbb{C}^\times} \to \mathbb{Z}[Y_a^{\pm 1}]_{a\in\mathbb{C}^\times}$$

be the ring homomorphism such that $\beta_j(Y_{k,a}) = 1$ for $k \neq j$ and $\beta_j(Y_{j,a}) = Y_a$ for all $a \in \mathbb{C}^\times$.

Let V be a $U_q\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module. Then $\beta_i(\chi_q(V))$, $i = 1, 2$, is the q-character of V considered as a $U_{q_i}\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$-module.

The Frenkel-Mukhin algorithm introduced in [FM01] is very useful to compute q-characters of simple $U_q\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-modules. It is shown in [FM01] that the Frenkel-Mukhin algorithm works for simple $U_q\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-modules which are special.

2.7. **Truncated q-characters.** In this paper, we need to use the concept truncated q-characters, see [HL10] and [MY12a]. Given a set of monomials $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{P}$, let $\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{R} \subset \mathbb{Z}\mathcal{P}$ denote the $\mathbb{Z}$-module of formal linear combinations of elements of $\mathcal{R}$ with integer coefficients. Define

$$\mathrm{trunc}_{\mathcal{R}} : \mathcal{P} \to \mathcal{R}; \quad m \mapsto \begin{cases} m, & \text{if} \quad m \in \mathcal{R}, \\ 0, & \text{if} \quad m \notin \mathcal{R}, \end{cases}$$

and extend $\mathrm{trunc}_{\mathcal{R}}$ as a $\mathbb{Z}$-module map $\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{P} \to \mathbb{Z}\mathcal{R}$.

Given a subset $U \subset I \times \mathbb{C}^{\times}$, let $\mathcal{Q}_U$ be the subgroups of $\mathcal{Q}$ generated by $A_{i,a}$ with $(i,a) \in U$. Let $\mathcal{Q}_U^{\pm}$ be the monoid generated by $A_{i,a}^{\pm 1}$ with $(i,a) \in U$. The polynomial $\mathrm{trunc}_{m_+\mathcal{Q}_U^-}\chi_q(m_+)$ is called *the q-character of $L(m_+)$ truncated to U.*

The following theorem can be used to compute some truncated q-characters.

Theorem 2.6 (Theorem 2.1, [MY12a]). *Let $U \subset I \times \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ and $m_+ \in \mathcal{P}^+$. Suppose that $\mathscr{M} \subset \mathcal{P}$ is a finite set of distinct monomials such that*

(i) $\mathscr{M} \subset m_+\mathcal{Q}_U^-$,

(ii) $\mathcal{P}^+ \cap \mathscr{M} = \{m_+\}$,

(iii) for all $m \in \mathscr{M}$ and all $(i,a) \in U$, if $mA_{i,a}^{-1} \notin \mathscr{M}$, then $mA_{i,a}^{-1}A_{j,b} \notin \mathscr{M}$ unless $(j,b) = (i,a)$,

(iv) for all $m \in \mathscr{M}$ and all $i \in I$, there exists a unique i-dominant monomial $M \in \mathscr{M}$ such that

$$\mathrm{trunc}_{\beta_i(M\mathcal{Q}_U^-)}\chi_q(\beta_i(M)) = \sum_{m' \in m\mathcal{Q}_{\{i\}\times\mathbb{C}^{\times}} \cap \mathscr{M}} \beta_i(m').$$

Then

$$\mathrm{trunc}_{m_+\mathcal{Q}_U^-}\chi_q(m_+) = \sum_{m \in \mathscr{M}} m.$$

Here $\chi_q(\beta_i(M))$ is the q-character of the simple $U_{q_i}\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$-module with highest weight monomial $\beta_i(M)$, and $\mathrm{trunc}_{\beta_i(M\mathcal{Q}_U^-)}$ is the polynomial obtained from $\chi_q(\beta_i(M))$ by keeping only the monomials of $\chi_q(\beta_i(M))$ in the set $\beta_i(M\mathcal{Q}_U^-)$.

3. A CLOSED SYSTEM WHICH CONTAINS A LARGE FAMILY OF MINIMAL AFFINIZATIONS OF TYPE C_n

In this section, we introduce a closed system of type C_n that contains a large family of minimal affinizations.

3.1. Special modules.

Theorem 3.1 (Theorem 3.9, [Her07]). *For $s \in \mathbb{Z}, k_1, \ldots, k_{n-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, the module $\mathcal{T}^{(s)}_{k_1,k_2,\ldots,k_{n-1},0}$ is special.*

Theorem 3.2. *For $k_1, \ldots, k_{n-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $k_n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and $s \in \mathbb{Z}$, the modules*

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s)}_{0,\ldots,0,k_{n-i},0,\ldots,0,k_n} \ (1 \leq i \leq n-1),$$
$$\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s)}_{0,\ldots,0,k_{n-j},0,\ldots,0,k_{n-i},0,\ldots,0,k_n} \ (1 \leq i < j \leq n-1,\ 0 \leq k_{n-j} \leq i+1),$$
$$\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m,0,\ldots,0,k_l,0,\ldots,0,k_n} \ (1 \leq m < l \leq n-1,\ 0 \leq k_1 + \cdots + k_m \leq n-l+1),$$
$$\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}^{(s)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m,0,\ldots,0,k_l,0,\ldots,0,k_{n-1},0} \ (1 \leq m < l \leq n-2,\ 0 \leq k_1 + \cdots + k_m \leq n-l+1),$$

are special. In particular,we can use the Frenkel-Mukhin algorithm to compute these modules.

We will prove Theorem 3.2 in Section 6.

3.2. **A closed system of type C_n.**

Theorem 3.3. *For $s \in \mathbb{Z}$, $k_1, \ldots, k_n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we have the following system of equations:*

$$\begin{aligned}[][\mathcal{T}^{(s)}_{k_1,k_2-1,k_3,\ldots,k_{n-1},0}][\mathcal{T}^{(s)}_{k_1,k_2,k_3,\ldots,k_{n-1},0}] =&[\mathcal{T}^{(s)}_{k_1-1,k_2,k_3,\ldots,k_{n-1},0}][\mathcal{T}^{(s)}_{k_1+1,k_2-1,k_3,\ldots,k_{n-1},0}] \\ &+ [\mathcal{T}^{(s)}_{0,k_2-1,k_3,\ldots,k_{n-1},0}][\mathcal{T}^{(s)}_{0,k_1+k_2,k_3,\ldots,k_{n-1},0}],\end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

where $k_1, k_2 \geq 1$;

$$\begin{aligned}[][\mathcal{T}^{(s)}_{0,\ldots,0,k_i,k_{i+1}-1,k_{i+2},\ldots,k_{n-1},0}][\mathcal{T}^{(s)}_{0,\ldots,0,k_i,k_{i+1},k_{i+2},\ldots,k_{n-1},0}] =&[\mathcal{T}^{(s)}_{0,\ldots,0,k_i+1,k_{i+1}-1,k_{i+2},\ldots,k_{n-1},0}][\mathcal{T}^{(s)}_{0,\ldots,0,k_i-1,k_{i+1},k_{i+2}\ldots,k_{n-1},0}] \\ &+ [\mathcal{T}^{(s)}_{0,\ldots,0,\underset{i+1}{k_i+k_{i+1}},k_{i+2},\ldots,k_{n-1},0}][\mathcal{T}^{(s)}_{0,\ldots,0,\underset{i-1}{k_i},0,\underset{i+1}{k_{i+1}}-1,k_{i+2},,\ldots,k_{n-1},0}],\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

where $k_i, k_{i+1} \geq 1$, $1 < i \leq n-2$;

$$\begin{aligned}[][\mathcal{T}^{(s)}_{k_1,0,\ldots,0,k_j-1,k_{j+1},\ldots,k_{n-1},0}][\mathcal{T}^{(s)}_{k_1,0,\ldots,0,k_j,k_{j+1},\ldots,k_{n-1},0}] =&[\mathcal{T}^{(s)}_{k_1+1,0,\ldots,0,k_j-1,k_{j+1},\ldots,k_{n-1},0}][\mathcal{T}^{(s)}_{k_1-1,0,\ldots,0,k_j,k_{j+1}\ldots,k_{n-1},0}] \\ &+ [\mathcal{T}^{(s)}_{0,k_1,0,\ldots,0,k_j,k_{j+1},\ldots,k_{n-1},0}][\mathcal{T}^{(s)}_{0,\ldots,0,k_j-1,k_{j+1},\ldots,k_{n-1},0}],\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

where $k_1, k_j > 0$, $2 < j \leq n-1$;

$$\begin{aligned}[][\mathcal{T}^{(s)}_{0,\ldots,0,\underset{i}{k_i},0,\ldots,0,\underset{j}{k_j}-1,k_{j+1},\ldots,k_{n-1},0}][\mathcal{T}^{(s)}_{0,\ldots,0,\underset{i}{k_i},0,\ldots,0,\underset{j}{k_j},k_{j+1},\ldots,k_{n-1},0}] =&[\mathcal{T}^{(s)}_{0,\ldots,0,\underset{i}{k_i}-1,0,\ldots,0,\underset{j}{k_j},k_{j+1},\ldots,k_{n-1},0}][\mathcal{T}^{(s)}_{0,\ldots,0,\underset{i}{k_i}+1,0,\ldots,0,\underset{j}{k_j}-1,k_{j+1}\ldots,k_{n-1},0}] \\ &+ [\mathcal{T}^{(s)}_{0,\ldots,0,\underset{i+1}{k_i},0,\ldots,0,\underset{j}{k_j},k_{j+1},\ldots,k_{n-1},0}][\mathcal{T}^{(s)}_{0,\ldots,0,\underset{i-1}{k_i},0,\ldots,0,\underset{j}{k_j}-1,k_{j+1},\ldots,k_{n-1},0}],\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

where $k_i, k_j > 0$, $2 < i+1 < j \leq n-1$.

In the following, let $k_1, \ldots, k_{n-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $k_n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and $s \in \mathbb{Z}$.

$$\begin{aligned}[][\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m-1,0,\ldots,0,k_n}][\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s-4)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m,0,\ldots,0,1,k_n}] =&[\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m,0,\ldots,0,1,k_n-1}][\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s-4)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m-1,0,\ldots,0,k_n+1}] \\ &+ [\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s+4k_n)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m-1,0,\ldots,0,0}][\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s-4)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m,0,\ldots,0,2k_n+1,0}],\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

where $1 \leq m \leq n-2$ and $0 \leq k_1 + \cdots + k_m \leq 2$;

$$\begin{aligned}[][\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m,0,\ldots,0,k_{n-1}-2,k_n}][\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s-4)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m,0,\ldots,0,k_{n-1},k_n}] =&[\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m,0,\ldots,0,k_{n-1},k_n-1}][\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s-4)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m,0,\ldots,0,k_{n-1}-2,k_n+1}] \\ &+ [\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s+4k_n)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m,0,\ldots,0,k_{n-1}-2,0}][\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s-4)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m,0,\ldots,0,2k_n+k_{n-1},0}],\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

where $1 \leq m \leq n-2$, $k_{n-1} \geq 2$ and $0 \leq k_1 + \cdots + k_m \leq 2$;

$$\begin{aligned}
[\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m-1,0,\ldots,0,\ldots,0,k_n}][\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s-4)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m,0,\ldots,0,\underset{l}{1},0,\ldots,0,k_n}] =& [\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m,0,\ldots,0,\underset{l}{1},0,\ldots,0,k_n-1}][\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s-4)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m-1,0,\ldots,0,\ldots,0,k_n+1}] \\
&+ [\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}^{(s-4)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m,0,\ldots,0,\underset{l}{1},0,\ldots,0,2k_n,0}],
\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

where $1 \leq m < l \leq n-2,\ 0 \leq k_1 + \cdots + k_m \leq n-l+1$;

$$\begin{aligned}
[\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m,0,\ldots,0,k_l-2,0,\ldots,0,k_n}][\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s-4)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m,0,\ldots,0,k_l,0,\ldots,0,k_n}] =& [\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m,0,\ldots,0,k_l,0,\ldots,0,k_n-1}][\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s-4)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m,0,\ldots,0,k_l-2,0,\ldots,0,k_n+1}] \\
&+ [\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}^{(s-4)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m,0,\ldots,0,k_l,0,\ldots,0,2k_n,0}],
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

where $1 \leq m < l \leq n-2,\ k_l \geq 2$ *and* $0 \leq k_1 + \cdots + k_m \leq n-l+1$.

Theorem 3.3 will be proved in Section 7.

Example 3.4. *The following are some equations in the system of type C_3.*

$$\begin{aligned}
&[1_{-2}][1_{-4}2_{-1}] = [1_{-4}1_{-2}][2_{-1}] + [2_{-3}2_{-1}], \\
&[1_{-4}1_{-2}][1_{-6}1_{-4}2_{-1}] = [1_{-4}2_{-1}][1_{-6}1_{-4}1_{-2}] + [2_{-5}2_{-3}2_{-1}], \\
&[3_{-2}][3_{-6}2_{-1}] = [2_{-1}][3_{-6}3_{-2}] + [2_{-5}2_{-3}2_{-1}], \\
&[3_{-6}3_{-2}][3_{-10}3_{-6}2_{-1}] = [3_{-6}2_{-1}][3_{-10}3_{-6}3_{-2}] + [2_{-9}2_{-7}2_{-5}2_{-3}2_{-1}], \\
&[3_{-6}1_{0}][3_{-2}] = [1_{0}][3_{-6}3_{-2}] + [2_{-5}2_{-3}1_{0}], \\
&[3_{-6}3_{-2}][3_{-10}3_{-6}1_{0}] = [3_{-6}1_{0}][3_{-10}3_{-6}3_{-2}] + [2_{-3}2_{-9}2_{-7}2_{-5}1_{0}], \\
&[3_{-4}][3_{-8}2_{-3}1_{0}] = [2_{-3}1_{0}][3_{-8}3_{-4}] + [2_{-7}2_{-5}2_{-3}1_{0}], \\
&[3_{-8}3_{-4}][3_{-12}3_{-8}2_{-3}1_{0}] = [3_{-8}2_{-3}1_{0}][3_{-12}3_{-8}3_{-4}] + [2_{-11}2_{-9}2_{-7}2_{-5}2_{-3}1_{0}].
\end{aligned}$$

Example 3.5. *The following are some equations in the system of type C_4.*

$$\begin{aligned}
&[4_{-3}][4_{-7}1_{0}] = [1_{0}][4_{-7}4_{-3}] + [3_{-6}3_{-4}1_{0}], \\
&[4_{-5}][4_{-9}2_{-3}1_{0}] = [2_{-3}1_{0}][4_{-9}4_{-5}] + [3_{-8}3_{-6}2_{-3}1_{0}], \\
&[4_{-7}1_{0}][4_{-11}2_{-5}1_{-2}1_{0}] = [2_{-5}1_{-2}1_{0}][4_{-11}4_{-7}1_{0}] + [3_{-10}3_{-8}2_{-5}1_{-2}1_{0}^{2}], \\
&[4_{-9}1_{-2}1_{0}][4_{-13}2_{-7}1_{-4}1_{-2}1_{0}] = [2_{-7}1_{-4}1_{-2}1_{0}][4_{-13}4_{-9}1_{-2}1_{0}] + [3_{-12}3_{-10}2_{-7}1_{-4}1_{-2}^{2}1_{0}^{2}].
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.6. *For each equation in Theorem 3.3, all summands on the right hand side are simple.*

Theorem 3.6 will be proved in Section 8.

3.3. **A system corresponding to the system in Theorem 3.3.**

For $k_1, \ldots, k_n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $s \in \mathbb{Z}$, let

$$\mathfrak{m}_{k_1,\ldots,k_n} = \mathrm{Res}(\mathcal{T}^{(s)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_n}) \text{ (resp. } \widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}_{k_1,\ldots,k_n} = \mathrm{Res}(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_n}))$$

be the restriction of $\mathcal{T}^{(s)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_n}$ (resp. $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_n}$) to $U_q\mathfrak{g}$. It is clear that $\mathrm{Res}(\mathcal{T}^{(s)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_n})$ and $\mathrm{Res}(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_n})$ do not depend on s. Let $\chi(M)$ (resp. $\chi(\widetilde{M})$) be the character of a $U_q\mathfrak{g}$-module M (resp. $\widetilde{M}$). By replacing each $[\mathcal{T}^{(s)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_n}]$ (resp. $[\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_n}]$) in the system in Theorem 3.3 with $\chi(\mathfrak{m}_{k_1,\ldots,k_n})$ (resp. $\chi(\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}_{k_1,\ldots,k_n})$), we obtain a system of equations consisting of the characters of $U_q\mathfrak{g}$-modules. The following are two equations in the system.

$$\chi(\mathfrak{m}_{k_1,k_2-1,k_3,\ldots,k_{n-1},0})\chi(\mathfrak{m}_{k_1,k_2,k_3,\ldots,k_{n-1},0}) = \chi(\mathfrak{m}_{k_1-1,k_2,k_3,\ldots,k_{n-1},0})\chi(\mathfrak{m}_{k_1+1,k_2-1,k_3,\ldots,k_{n-1},0}) + \chi(\mathfrak{m}_{0,k_2-1,k_3,\ldots,k_{n-1},0})\chi(\mathfrak{m}_{0,k_1+k_2,k_3,\ldots,k_{n-1},0}),$$
$$\chi(\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m-1,0,\ldots,0,k_n})\chi(\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m,0,\ldots,0,1,k_n}) = \chi(\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m,0,\ldots,0,1,k_n-1})\chi(\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m-1,0,\ldots,0,k_n+1}) + \chi(\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m-1,0,\ldots,0,0})\chi(\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m,0,\ldots,0,2k_n+1,0}).$$

4. RELATION BETWEEN THE SYSTEM IN THEOREM 3.3 AND CLUSTER ALGEBRAS

In this section, we will show that the equations in the system in Theorem 3.3 correspond to mutations in some cluster algebra $\mathscr{A}$. Moreover, every minimal affinization in the system in Theorem 3.3 corresponds to a cluster variable in the cluster algebra $\mathscr{A}$.

4.1. **Definition of cluster algebras $\mathscr{A}$.**

Let $I_1 = \{1, 2, \ldots, n-1\}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= \{-2i-1 \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}, & S_2 &= \{-2i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}, \\ S_3 &= \{2i+1 \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}, & S_4 &= \{2i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}, \\ S_5 &= \{-4i-1 \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}, & S_6 &= \{-4i-3 \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}, \\ S_7 &= \{-4i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}, & S_8 &= \{-4i-2 \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$V = ((S_3 \cap I_1) \times S_2) \cup ((S_4 \cap I_1) \times S_1) \cup ((\{n\} \cap S_3) \times S_7) \cup ((\{n\} \cap S_3) \times S_8) \cup ((\{n\} \cap S_4) \times S_5) \cup ((\{n\} \cap S_4) \times S_6).$$

A quiver Q for $U_q\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ of type C_n with vertex set V will be defined as follows. The arrows of Q are given by the following rule: there is an arrow from the vertex (i, r) to the vertex (j, s) if and only if $b_{ij} \neq 0$ and $s = r + b_{ij} - d_i + d_j$. The quiver Q of type C_n is the same as the quiver G^- of type C_n in [HL13].

Let $\mathbf{t} = \mathbf{t}_1 \cup \mathbf{t}_2$, where

$$\mathbf{t_1} = \{t^{(-n+i-[i]_{\mathrm{mod}2}+1)}_{0,\ldots,0,k_i,0,\ldots,0} \mid i \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\},\ k_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}, \tag{4.1}$$

$$\mathbf{t_2} = \begin{cases} \{\widetilde{t}^{(-4k_n+4)}_{0,\ldots,0,\ldots,0,k_n},\ \widetilde{t}^{(-4k_n+2)}_{0,\ldots,0,\ldots,0,k_n} \mid n \text{ is odd},\ k_n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}\}, \\ \{\widetilde{t}^{(-4k_n+3)}_{0,\ldots,0,\ldots,0,k_n},\ \widetilde{t}^{(-4k_n+1)}_{0,\ldots,0,\ldots,0,k_n} \mid n \text{ is even},\ k_n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}\}, \\ \{\widetilde{t}^{(-2k_i-n+i+[i]_{\mathrm{mod}2}+1)}_{0,\ldots,0,k_i,0,\ldots,0} \mid i \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\},\ k_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}. \end{cases} \tag{4.2}$$

Let $\mathscr{A}$ be the cluster algebra defined by the initial seed $(\mathbf{t}, Q)$. The cluster algebra $\mathscr{A}$ of type C_n is the same as the cluster algebra for $U_q\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ of type C_n introduced in [HL13].

4.2. **Mutation sequences.** For the quiver of type C_n. We use "C_{2i-1}" to denote the column of vertices $(2i-1,0)$, $(2i-1,-2)$, $(2i-1,-4)$, $\ldots$ in Q, where $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. We use "$C_{2i}$" to denote the column of vertices $(2i,-1)$, $(2i,-3)$, $(2i,-5), \ldots$ in Q, where $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. If n is even, we use "C_n" to denote the column of vertices $(n,-1)$, $(n,-5), \ldots, (n,-4i-1), \ldots$ in Q, where $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and "C_{n+1}" denote the column of vertices $(n,-3)$, $(n,-7), \ldots, (n,-4i-3), \ldots$ in Q, where $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. If n is odd, we use "C_n" to denote the column of vertices $(n,0)$, $(n,-4), \ldots, (n,-4i), \ldots$ in Q, where $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and "C_{n+1}" denote the column of vertices $(n,-2)$, $(n,-6), \ldots, (n,-4i-2), \ldots$ in Q, where $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Let $C_1, \ldots, C_n, C_{n+1}$ be the columns of the quiver. By saying that we mutate the column C_i, $i \in \{1, \ldots, n+1\}$, we mean that we mutate the vertices of C_i as follows. First we mutate at the first vertex of C_i, then the second vertex of C_i, an so on until the vertex at infinity. By saying that the mutate $(C_{i_1}, C_{i_2}, \ldots, C_{i_m})$, where $i_1, \ldots, i_m \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n+1\}$, we mean that we first mutate the column C_{i_1}, then the column C_{i_2}, an so on up to the column C_{i_m}.

Case 1. Let $k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and let k_l be the first non-zero integer in $k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_{n-1}$ from the right. We define some variables $t^{(s)}_{k_1,k_2,\ldots,k_{n-1},0}$, where

$$s = -n + l - [l]_{\text{mod}2} + 1,$$

recursively as follows. The variables $t^{(s)}_{0,\ldots,0,k_i,0,\ldots,0}$ $(k_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})$ in 4.1 are already defined. They are cluster variables in the initial seed of $\mathscr{A}$ define in Section 4.1.

We use $\emptyset$ to denote the empty mutation sequence, and use

$$\prod_{k=1}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} (C_{2k-1}, C_{2k-2}, C_{2k-3}, \ldots, C_1)$$

to denote the mutation sequence

$$(C_1; C_3, C_2, C_1; \ldots; C_{n-4}, C_{n-5}, \ldots, C_1; C_{n-2}, C_{n-3}, \ldots, C_1).$$

Let

$$M_l^{(1)} = \begin{cases} \emptyset, & l = 1,\ 2, \\ \prod_{k=1}^{\frac{l-1}{2}} (C_{2k-1}, C_{2k-2}, C_{2k-3}, \ldots, C_1), & l \equiv 1 \pmod 2,\ 1 < l \leq n-1, \\ \prod_{k=1}^{\frac{l}{2}} (C_{2k-1}, C_{2k-2}, C_{2k-3}, \ldots, C_1), & l \equiv 0 \pmod 2,\ 2 < l \leq n-1. \end{cases}$$

Let $k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_{n-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and k_l be the first non-zero integer in $k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_{n-1}$ from the right. Let Seq be the mutation sequence: first we mutate $M_l^{(1)}$ starting from the initial quiver Q, then we mutate $(C_{l-1}, C_{l-2}, \ldots, C_1)$ k_l times, and then we mutate $(C_{l-2}, C_{l-3}, \ldots, C_1)$ k_{l-1} times; continue this procedure, we mutate $(C_{t-1}, C_{t-2}, \ldots, C_1)$ k_t times, $t = l-2, l-3, \ldots, 2$. If $k_t = 0$, then "we mutate $(C_{t-1}, C_{t-2}, \ldots, C_1)$ k_t times" means "we do not mutate $(C_{t-1}, C_{t-2}, \ldots, C_1)$".

We define

$$t^{(-n+l-[l]_{\text{mod}2}+1)}_{0,\ldots,0,k_p,0,\ldots,0,k_q,k_{q+1},\ldots,k_{n-1},0} = t'^{(-n+l-[l]_{\text{mod}2}+1)}_{0,\ldots,0,k_p,0,\ldots,k_q-1,k_{q+1},\ldots,k_{n-1},0} \quad (1 \leq p < q \leq n-1), \tag{4.3}$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
&t'^{(-n+l-[l]_{\mathrm{mod}2}+1)}_{k_1,k_2-1,k_3,\ldots,k_{n-1},0}\\
&= \frac{t^{(-n+l-[l]_{\mathrm{mod}2}+1)}_{k_1-1,k_2,k_3,\ldots,k_{n-1},0}t^{(-n+l-[l]_{\mathrm{mod}2}+1)}_{k_1+1,k_2-1,k_3,\ldots,k_{n-1},0}+t^{(-n+l-[l]_{\mathrm{mod}2}+1)}_{0,k_1+k_2,k_3,\ldots,k_{n-1},0}t^{(-n+l-[l]_{\mathrm{mod}2}+1)}_{0,k_2-1,k_3,\ldots,k_{n-1},0}}{t^{(-n+l-[l]_{\mathrm{mod}2}+1)}_{k_1,k_2-1,k_3,\ldots,k_{n-1},0}},
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.4}
$$

where $k_1, k_2 \geq 1$;

$$
\begin{aligned}
&t'^{(-n+l-[l]_{\mathrm{mod}2}+1)}_{0,\ldots,0,k_i,k_{i+1}-1,k_{i+2},\ldots,k_{n-1},0}\\
&= \frac{t^{(-n+l-[l]_{\mathrm{mod}2}+1)}_{0,\ldots,0,k_i-1,k_{i+1},k_{i+2},\ldots,k_{n-1},0}t^{(-n+l-[l]_{\mathrm{mod}2}+1)}_{0,\ldots,0,k_i+1,k_{i+1}-1,k_{i+2}\ldots,k_{n-1},0}+t^{(-n+l-[l]_{\mathrm{mod}2}+1)}_{0,\ldots,0,\underset{i+1}{k_i+k_{i+1}},k_{i+2},\ldots,k_{n-1},0}t^{(-n+l-[l]_{\mathrm{mod}2}+1)}_{0,\ldots,0,\underset{i-1}{k_i},0,\underset{i+1}{k_{i+1}-1},\ldots,k_{n-1},0}}{t^{(-n+l-[l]_{\mathrm{mod}2}+1)}_{0,\ldots,0,k_i,k_{i+1}-1,k_{i+2},\ldots,k_{n-1},0}},
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.5}
$$

where $k_i, k_{i+1} \geq 1,\ 1 < i \leq n-1$;

$$
\begin{aligned}
&t'^{(-n+l-[l]_{\mathrm{mod}2}+1)}_{k_1,0,\ldots,0,k_j-1,k_{j+1},\ldots,k_{n-1},0}\\
&= \frac{t^{(-n+l-[l]_{\mathrm{mod}2}+1)}_{k_1+1,0,\ldots,0,k_j-1,k_{j+1},\ldots,k_{n-1},0}t^{(-n+l-[l]_{\mathrm{mod}2}+1)}_{k_1-1,0,\ldots,0,k_j,k_{j+1}\ldots,k_{n-1},0}+t^{(-n+l-[l]_{\mathrm{mod}2}+1)}_{0,k_1,0,\ldots,0,k_j,k_{j+1},\ldots,k_{n-1},0}t^{(-n+l-[l]_{\mathrm{mod}2}+1)}_{0,\ldots,0,k_j-1,k_{j+1},\ldots,k_{n-1},0}}{t^{(-n+l-[l]_{\mathrm{mod}2}+1)}_{k_1,0,\ldots,0,k_j-1,k_{j+1},\ldots,k_{n-1},0}},
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.6}
$$

where $k_1, k_j > 0,\ 2 < j \leq n-1$;

$$
\begin{aligned}
&t'^{(-n+l-[l]_{\mathrm{mod}2}+1)}_{0,\ldots,0,\underset{i}{k_i},0,\ldots,0,\underset{j}{k_j-1},k_{j+1},\ldots,k_{n-1},0}\\
&= \frac{t^{(-n+l-[l]_{\mathrm{mod}2}+1)}_{0,\ldots,0,\underset{i}{k_i-1},0,\ldots,0,\underset{j}{k_j},k_{j+1},\ldots,k_{n-1},0}t^{(-n+l-[l]_{\mathrm{mod}2}+1)}_{0,\ldots,0,\underset{i}{k_i+1},0,\ldots,0,\underset{j}{k_j-1},k_{j+1}\ldots,k_{n-1},0}+t^{(-n+l-[l]_{\mathrm{mod}2}+1)}_{0,\ldots,0,\underset{i+1}{k_i},0,\ldots,0,\underset{j}{k_j},k_{j+1},\ldots,k_{n-1},0}t^{(-n+l-[l]_{\mathrm{mod}2}+1)}_{0,\ldots,0,\underset{i-1}{k_i},0,\ldots,0,\underset{j}{k_j-1},\ldots,k_{n-1},0}}{t^{(-n+l-[l]_{\mathrm{mod}2}+1)}_{0,\ldots,0,\underset{i}{k_i},0,\ldots,0,\underset{j}{k_j-1},k_{j+1},\ldots,k_{n-1},0}},
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.7}
$$

where $k_i, k_j > 0,\ 2 < i+1 < j \leq n-1$; are mutation equations which occur when we mutate Seq. The variables (4.3) are defined in the order according to the mutation sequence Seq. In this order, every variable in (4.3) is defined by an equation of (4.4)–(4.7) using variables in $\mathbf{t}$ and those variables in (4.3) which are already defined.

Case 2. For $k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, let k_r be the first non-zero integer in $k_{n-1}, k_{n-2}, \ldots, k_1$ from the right.

Let

$$N_{n,r}^{(1)} = \begin{cases} \emptyset, & r = n, \\ C_n, & n \equiv 1 \pmod 2,\ r = n-1, \\ \prod_{k=1}^{\frac{n-r}{2}} (C_{n-2k+2}, C_{n-2k+3}, \ldots, C_{n-1}, C_{n-[k]_{\mathrm{mod} 2}+1}), & n \equiv 1 \pmod 2,\ r \equiv 1 \pmod 2, \\ & r \leq n-2, \\ \prod_{k=1}^{\frac{n-r+1}{2}} (C_{n-2k+2}, C_{n-2k+3}, \ldots, C_{n-1}, C_{n-[k]_{\mathrm{mod} 2}+1}), & n \equiv 1 \pmod 2,\ r \equiv 0 \pmod 2, \\ & r < n-2, \\ \prod_{k=1}^{\frac{n-r-1}{2}} (C_{n-2k+1}, C_{n-2k+2}, \ldots, C_{n-1}, C_{n-[k]_{\mathrm{mod} 2}+1}), & n \equiv 0 \pmod 2,\ r \equiv 1 \pmod 2, \\ & r < n-2, \\ \prod_{k=1}^{\frac{n-r}{2}} (C_{n-2k+1}, C_{n-2k+2}, \ldots, C_{n-1}, C_{n-[k]_{\mathrm{mod} 2}+1}), & n \equiv 0 \pmod 2,\ r \equiv 0 \pmod 2, \\ & r \leq n-2. \end{cases}$$

Let S, S' be two mutation sequences. We use $\underbrace{SS' \cdots SS'S}_{2k+1}$ to denote the mutation sequence $SS' \cdots SS'S$, where the number of S is $k+1$ and use $\underbrace{SS' \cdots SS'}_{2k}$ to denote the mutation sequence $SS' \cdots SS'$, where the number of S is k.

Let $k_1, \ldots, k_{n-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, k_n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and let k_r be the first non-zero integer in $k_{n-1}, \ldots, k_1$ from the right. For n is even and $r = n-1 \neq 0$, let Seq be the mutation sequence: we mutate

$$\begin{cases} \underbrace{(C_n, C_{n+1}, \ldots, C_n, C_{n+1}, C_n)}_{k_r}, & \text{if} \quad k_r \equiv 1 \pmod 2, \\ \underbrace{(C_n, C_{n+1}, \ldots, C_n, C_{n+1})}_{k_r}, & \text{if} \quad k_r \equiv 0 \pmod 2, \end{cases}$$

starting from the initial quiver Q.

For n is odd and $r = n-1 \neq 0$, let Seq be the mutation sequence: first we mutate $N_{n,r}^{(1)}$ starting from the initial quiver Q, then we mutate

$$\begin{cases} \underbrace{(C_{n+1}, C_n, \ldots, C_{n+1}, C_n, C_{n+1})}_{k_r}, & \text{if} \quad k_r \equiv 1 \pmod 2, \\ \underbrace{(C_{n+1}, C_n, \ldots, C_{n+1}, C_n)}_{k_r}, & \text{if} \quad k_r \equiv 0 \pmod 2. \end{cases}$$

For $1 \leq r \leq n-2$, let Seq be the mutation sequence: first we mutate $N_{n,r}^{(1)}$ starting from the initial quiver Q, then we mutate

	$\frac{n-r}{2}$ is odd		$\frac{n-r}{2}$ is even		$\frac{n-r+1}{2}$ is odd		$\frac{n-r+1}{2}$ is even	
	k_r is odd	k_r is even	k_r is odd	k_r is even	k_r is odd	k_r is even	k_r is odd	k_r is even
n is odd, r is odd	$\underbrace{S'_rS_r\cdots S'_rS_rS'_r}_{k_r}$	$\underbrace{S'_rS_r\cdots S'_rS_r}_{k_r}$	$\underbrace{S_rS'_r\cdots S_rS'_rS_r}_{k_r}$	$\underbrace{S_rS'_r\cdots S_rS'_r}_{k_r}$				
n is odd, r is even					$\underbrace{S'_rS_r\cdots S'_rS_rS'_r}_{k_r}$	$\underbrace{S'_rS_r\cdots S'_rS_r}_{k_r}$	$\underbrace{S_rS'_r\cdots S_rS'_rS_r}_{k_r}$	$\underbrace{S_rS'_r\cdots S_rS'_r}_{k_r}$
n is even, r is even	$\underbrace{S'_rS_r\cdots S'_rS_rS'_r}_{k_r}$	$\underbrace{S'_rS_r\cdots S'_rS_r}_{k_r}$	$\underbrace{S_rS'_r\cdots S_rS'_rS_r}_{k_r}$	$\underbrace{S_rS'_r\cdots S_rS'_r}_{k_r}$				
n is even, r is odd					$\underbrace{S_rS'_r\cdots S_rS'_rS_r}_{k_r}$	$\underbrace{S_rS'_r\cdots S_rS'_r}_{k_r}$	$\underbrace{S'_rS_r\cdots S'_rS_rS'_r}_{k_r}$	$\underbrace{S'_rS_r\cdots S'_rS_r}_{k_r}$

where $S_r = (C_{r+1}, C_{r+2}, \ldots, C_{n-1}, C_n)$, $S'_r = (C_{r+1}, C_{r+2}, \ldots, C_{n-1}, C_{n+1})$. Then we mutate

	$(\frac{n-r}{2}+k_r)$ is odd		$(\frac{n-r}{2}+k_r)$ is even		$(\frac{n-r+1}{2}+k_r)$ is odd		$(\frac{n-r+1}{2}+k_r)$ is even	
	k_{r+1} is odd	k_{r+1} is even	k_{r+1} is odd	k_{r+1} is even	k_{r+1} is odd	k_{r+1} is even	k_{r+1} is odd	k_{r+1} is even
n is odd, r is odd	$\underbrace{S'_{r+1}S_{r+1}\cdots S'_{r+1}S_{r+1}S'_{r+1}}_{k_{r+1}}$	$\underbrace{S'_{r+1}S_{r+1}\cdots S'_{r+1}S_{r+1}}_{k_{r+1}}$	$\underbrace{S_{r+1}S'_{r+1}\cdots S_{r+1}S'_{r+1}S_{r+1}}_{k_{r+1}}$	$\underbrace{S_{r+1}S'_{r+1}\cdots S_{r+1}S'_{r+1}}_{k_{r+1}}$				
n is odd, r is even					$\underbrace{S'_{r+1}S_{r+1}\cdots S'_{r+1}S_{r+1}S'_{r+1}}_{k_{r+1}}$	$\underbrace{S'_{r+1}S_{r+1}\cdots S'_{r+1}S_{r+1}}_{k_{r+1}}$	$\underbrace{S_{r+1}S'_{r+1}\cdots S_{r+1}S'_{r+1}S_{r+1}}_{k_{r+1}}$	$\underbrace{S_{r+1}S'_{r+1}\cdots S_{r+1}S'_{r+1}}_{k_{r+1}}$
n is even, r is even	$\underbrace{S'_{r+1}S_{r+1}\cdots S'_{r+1}S_{r+1}S'_{r+1}}_{k_{r+1}}$	$\underbrace{S'_{r+1}S_{r+1}\cdots S'_{r+1}S_{r+1}}_{k_{r+1}}$	$\underbrace{S_{r+1}S'_{r+1}\cdots S_{r+1}S'_{r+1}S_{r+1}}_{k_{r+1}}$	$\underbrace{S_{r+1}S'_{r+1}\cdots S_{r+1}S'_{r+1}}_{k_{r+1}}$				
n is even, r is odd					$\underbrace{S_{r+1}S'_{r+1}\cdots S_{r+1}S'_{r+1}S_{r+1}}_{k_{r+1}}$	$\underbrace{S_{r+1}S'_{r+1}\cdots S_{r+1}S'_{r+1}}_{k_{r+1}}$	$\underbrace{S'_{r+1}S_{r+1}\cdots S'_{r+1}S_{r+1}S'_{r+1}}_{k_{r+1}}$	$\underbrace{S'_{r+1}S_{r+1}\cdots S'_{r+1}S_{r+1}}_{k_{r+1}}$

where $S_{r+1} = (C_{r+2}, C_{r+3}, \ldots, C_{n-1}, C_n)$, $S'_{r+1} = (C_{r+2}, C_{r+3}, \ldots, C_{n-1}, C_{n+1})$. Continue this procedure, we mutate

	$(\frac{n-r}{2}+k_r+\cdots+k_{t-1})$ is odd		$(\frac{n-r}{2}+k_r+\cdots+k_{t-1})$ is even		$(\frac{n-r+1}{2}+k_r+\cdots+k_{t-1})$ is odd		$(\frac{n-r+1}{2}+k_r+\cdots+k_{t-1})$ is even	
	k_t is odd	k_t is even	k_t is odd	k_t is even	k_t is odd	k_t is even	k_t is odd	k_t is even
n is odd, r is odd	$\underbrace{S'_tS_t\cdots S'_tS_tS'_t}_{k_t}$	$\underbrace{S'_tS_t\cdots S'_tS_t}_{k_t}$	$\underbrace{S_tS'_t\cdots S_tS'_tS_t}_{k_t}$	$\underbrace{S_tS'_t\cdots S_tS'_t}_{k_t}$				
n is odd, r is even					$\underbrace{S'_tS_t\cdots S'_tS_tS'_t}_{k_t}$	$\underbrace{S'_tS_t\cdots S'_tS_t}_{k_t}$	$\underbrace{S_tS'_t\cdots S_tS'_tS_t}_{k_t}$	$\underbrace{S_tS'_t\cdots S_tS'_t}_{k_t}$
n is even, r is even	$\underbrace{S'_tS_t\cdots S'_tS_tS'_t}_{k_t}$	$\underbrace{S'_tS_t\cdots S'_tS_t}_{k_t}$	$\underbrace{S_tS'_t\cdots S_tS'_tS_t}_{k_t}$	$\underbrace{S_tS'_t\cdots S_tS'_t}_{k_t}$				
n is even, r is odd					$\underbrace{S_tS'_t\cdots S_tS'_tS_t}_{k_t}$	$\underbrace{S_tS'_t\cdots S_tS'_t}_{k_t}$	$\underbrace{S'_tS_t\cdots S'_tS_tS'_t}_{k_t}$	$\underbrace{S'_tS_t\cdots S'_tS_t}_{k_t}$

where $S_t = (C_{t+1}, C_{t+2}, \ldots, C_{n-1}, C_n)$, $S'_t = (C_{t+1}, C_{t+2}, \ldots, C_{n-1}, C_{n+1})$ and $t = r+2, r+3, \ldots, n-2$.

We define

$$\begin{aligned}
&\widetilde{t}^{(-4k_n-2(\sum_{i=r}^{n-1}k_i)-n+r+[r]_{\mathrm{mod}2}+1)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_p,0,\ldots,0,\underset{q}{1},0,\ldots,0,k_n} = \widetilde{t}'^{(-4k_n-2(\sum_{i=r}^{n-1}k_i)-n+r+[r]_{\mathrm{mod}2}+5)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_p-1,0,\ldots,0,\ldots,0,k_n} \quad (1\le p<q\le n-1,\ 0\le k_1+\cdots+k_p\le n-q+1),\\
&\widetilde{t}^{(-4k_n-2(\sum_{i=r}^{n-1}k_i)-n+r+[r]_{\mathrm{mod}2}+1)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_p,0,\ldots,0,k_q,0,\ldots,0,k_n} = \widetilde{t}'^{(-4k_n-2(\sum_{i=r}^{n-1}k_i)-n+r+[r]_{\mathrm{mod}2}+5)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_p,0,\ldots,0,k_q-2,0,\ldots,0,k_n} \quad (1\le p<q\le n-1,\ k_q\ge 2,\ 0\le k_1+\cdots+k_p\le n-q+1),\\
&\widetilde{s}^{(-4k_n-2(\sum_{i=r}^{n-1}k_i)-n+r+[r]_{\mathrm{mod}2}+1)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_p,0,\ldots,0,\underset{q}{1},0,\ldots,0,2k_n,0} = \widetilde{t}^{(-4k_n-2(\sum_{i=r}^{n-1}k_i)-n+r+[r]_{\mathrm{mod}2}+1)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_p,0,\ldots,0,\underset{q}{1},0,\ldots,0,2k_n,0}\widetilde{t}^{(-2(\sum_{i=r}^{n-2}k_i)-n+r+[r]_{\mathrm{mod}2}+5)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_p-1,0,\ldots,0,\ldots,0,0} \quad (1\le p<q\le n-2,\ 0\le k_1+\cdots+k_p\le n-q+1),\\
&\widetilde{s}^{(-4k_n-2(\sum_{i=r}^{n-1}k_i)-n+r+[r]_{\mathrm{mod}2}+1)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_p,0,\ldots,0,k_q,0,\ldots,0,2k_n,0} = \widetilde{t}^{(-4k_n-2(\sum_{i=r}^{n-1}k_i)-n+r+[r]_{\mathrm{mod}2}+1)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_p,0,\ldots,0,k_q,0,\ldots,0,2k_n,0}\widetilde{t}^{(-2(\sum_{i=r}^{n-2}k_i)-n+r+[r]_{\mathrm{mod}2}+5)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_p,0,\ldots,0,k_q-2,0,\ldots,0,0} \quad (1\le p<q\le n-2,\ k_q\ge 2,\ 0\le k_1+\cdots+k_p\le n-q+1),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
&\widetilde{t}'^{(-4k_n-2(\sum_{i=r}^{n-1}k_i)-n+r+[r]_{\mathrm{mod}2}+5)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m,0,\ldots,0,k_{n-1}-2,k_n}\\
&= \frac{\widetilde{t}^{(-4k_n-2(\sum_{i=r}^{n-1}k_i)-n+r+[r]_{\mathrm{mod}2}+5)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m,0,\ldots,0,k_{n-1},k_n-1}\widetilde{t}^{(-4k_n-2(\sum_{i=r}^{n-1}k_i)-n+r+[r]_{\mathrm{mod}2}+1)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m,0,\ldots,0,k_{n-1}-2,,k_n+1} + \widetilde{t}^{(-4k_n-2(\sum_{i=r}^{n-1}k_i)-n+r+[r]_{\mathrm{mod}2}+1)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m,0,\ldots,0,2k_n+k_{n-1},0}\widetilde{t}^{(-2(\sum_{i=r}^{n-2}k_i)-n+r+[r]_{\mathrm{mod}2}+5)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m,0,\ldots,0,k_{n-1}-2,0}}{\widetilde{t}^{(-4k_n-2(\sum_{i=r}^{n-1}k_i)-n+r+[r]_{\mathrm{mod}2}+5)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m,0,\ldots,0,k_{n-1}-2,k_n}},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

where $k_{n-1} \geq 2,\ 1 \leq m \leq n-2$ and $0 \leq k_1 + \cdots + k_m \leq 2$;

$$\begin{aligned}&\widetilde{t}'^{(-4k_n-2(\sum_{i=r}^{n-1} k_i)-n+r+[r]_{\mathrm{mod}2}+5)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m-1,0,\ldots,0,k_n}\\ &= \frac{\widetilde{t}^{(-4k_n-2(\sum_{i=r}^{n-1} k_i)-n+r+[r]_{\mathrm{mod}2}+5)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m,0,\ldots,0,1,k_n-1}\widetilde{t}^{(-4k_n-2(\sum_{i=r}^{n-1} k_i)-n+r+[r]_{\mathrm{mod}2}+1)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m-1,0,\ldots,0,k_n+1} + \widetilde{t}^{(-2(\sum_{i=r}^{n-2} k_i)-n+r+[r]_{\mathrm{mod}2}+5)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m-1,0,\ldots,0,0}\widetilde{t}^{(-4k_n-2(\sum_{i=r}^{n-1} k_i)-n+r+[r]_{\mathrm{mod}2}+1)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m,0,\ldots,0,2k_n+1,0}}{\widetilde{t}^{(-4k_n-2(\sum_{i=r}^{n-1} k_i)-n+r+[r]_{\mathrm{mod}2}+5)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m-1,0,\ldots,0,k_n}},\end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

where $1 \leq m \leq n-2,\ 0 \leq k_1 + \cdots + k_m \leq 2$;

$$\begin{aligned}&\widetilde{t}'^{(-4k_n-2(\sum_{i=r}^{n-1} k_i)-n+r+[r]_{\mathrm{mod}2}+5)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m,0,\ldots,0,k_l-2,0,\ldots,0,k_n}\\ &= \frac{\widetilde{t}^{(-4k_n-2(\sum_{i=r}^{n-1} k_i)-n+r+[r]_{\mathrm{mod}2}+5)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m,0,\ldots,0,k_l,0,\ldots,0,k_n-1}\widetilde{t}^{(-4k_n-2(\sum_{i=r}^{n-1} k_i)-n+r+[r]_{\mathrm{mod}2}+1)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m,0,\ldots,0,k_l-2,0,\ldots,0,k_n+1} + \widetilde{s}^{(-4k_n-2(\sum_{i=r}^{n-1} k_i)-n+r+[r]_{\mathrm{mod}2}+1)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m,0,\ldots,0,k_l,0,\ldots,0,2k_n,0}}{\widetilde{t}^{(-4k_n-2(\sum_{i=r}^{n-1} k_i)-n+r+[r]_{\mathrm{mod}2}+5)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m,0,\ldots,0,k_l-2,0,\ldots,0,k_n}},\end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

where $1 \leq m < l \leq n-2,\ k_l \geq 2,\ 0 \leq k_1 + \cdots + k_m \leq n-l+1$;

$$\begin{aligned}&\widetilde{t}'^{(-4k_n-2(\sum_{i=r}^{n-1} k_i)-n+r+[r]_{\mathrm{mod}2}+5)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m-1,0,\ldots,0,\ldots,0,k_n}\\ &= \frac{\widetilde{t}^{(-4k_n-2(\sum_{i=r}^{n-1} k_i)-n+r+[r]_{\mathrm{mod}2}+5)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m,0,\ldots,0,\underset{l}{1},0,\ldots,0,k_n-1}\widetilde{t}^{(-4k_n-2(\sum_{i=r}^{n-1} k_i)-n+r+[r]_{\mathrm{mod}2}+1)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m-1,0,\ldots,0,\ldots,0,k_n+1} + \widetilde{s}^{(-4k_n-2(\sum_{i=r}^{n-1} k_i)-n+r+[r]_{\mathrm{mod}2}+1)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m,0,\ldots,0,\underset{l}{1},0,\ldots,0,2k_n,0}}{\widetilde{t}^{(-4k_n-2(\sum_{i=r}^{n-1} k_i)-n+r+[r]_{\mathrm{mod}2}+5)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m-1,0,\ldots,0,\ldots,0,k_n}},\end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

where $1 \leq m < l \leq n-2,\ 0 \leq k_1 + \cdots + k_m \leq n-l+1$; are mutation equations which occur when we mutate Seq. The variables (4.8) are defined in the order according to the mutation sequence Seq. In this order, every variable in (4.8) is defined by an equation of (4.9)–(4.12) using variables in $\mathbf{t}$ and those variables in (4.8) which are already defined.

4.3. **The equations in the system in Theorem 3.3 correspond to mutations in the cluster algebra $\mathscr{A}$.** By (4.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}t^{(s)}_{k_1,k_2,k_3,\ldots,k_{n-1},0} &= t'^{(s)}_{k_1,k_2-1,k_3,\ldots,k_{n-1},0}\\ &= \frac{t^{(s)}_{k_1-1,k_2,k_3,\ldots,k_{n-1},0}t^{(s)}_{k_1+1,k_2-1,k_3,\ldots,k_{n-1},0} + t^{(s)}_{0,k_1+k_2,k_3,\ldots,k_{n-1},0}t^{(s)}_{0,k_2-1,k_3,\ldots,k_{n-1},0}}{t^{(s)}_{k_1,k_2-1,k_3,\ldots,k_{n-1},0}},\end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

where $s = -n+l-[l]_{\mathrm{mod}2}+1$. Equations (4.13) correspond to Equations (3.1) in the system in Theorem 3.3.

By (4.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&t^{(s)}_{0,\ldots,0,k_i,k_{i+1},k_{i+2},\ldots,k_{n-1},0} = t'^{(s)}_{0,\ldots,0,k_i,k_{i+1}-1,k_{i+2},\ldots,k_{n-1},0}\\ &= \frac{t^{(s)}_{0,\ldots,0,k_i-1,k_{i+1},k_{i+2},\ldots,k_{n-1},0}t^{(s)}_{0,\ldots,0,k_i+1,k_{i+1}-1,k_{i+2}\ldots,k_{n-1},0} + t^{(s)}_{0,\ldots,0,\underset{i+1}{k_i+k_{i+1}},k_{i+2},\ldots,k_{n-1},0}t^{(s)}_{0,\ldots,0,\underset{i-1}{k_i},0,\underset{i+1}{k_{i+1}-1},\ldots,k_{n-1},0}}{t^{(s)}_{0,\ldots,0,k_i,k_{i+1}-1,k_{i+2},\ldots,k_{n-1},0}},\end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

where $s = -n+l-[l]_{\mathrm{mod}2}+1$. Equations (4.14) correspond to Equations (3.2) in the system in Theorem 3.3.

By 4.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & t^{(s)}_{k_1,0,\ldots,0,k_j,k_{j+1},\ldots,k_{n-1},0} = t'^{(s)}_{k_1,0,\ldots,0,k_j-1,k_{j+1},\ldots,k_{n-1},0} \\ & = \frac{t^{(s)}_{k_1+1,0,\ldots,0,k_j-1,k_{j+1},\ldots,k_{n-1},0} t^{(s)}_{k_1-1,0,\ldots,0,k_j,k_{j+1}\ldots,k_{n-1},0} + t^{(s)}_{0,k_1,0,\ldots,0,k_j,k_{j+1},\ldots,k_{n-1},0} t^{(s)}_{0,\ldots,0,k_j-1,k_{j+1},\ldots,k_{n-1},0}}{t^{(s)}_{k_1,0,\ldots,0,k_j-1,k_{j+1},\ldots,k_{n-1},0}}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

where $s = -n + l - [l]_{\mathrm{mod}2} + 1$. Equations (4.15) correspond to Equations (3.3) in the system in Theorem 3.3.

By (4.7) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & t^{(s)}_{0,\ldots,0,\underset{i}{k_i},0,\ldots,0,\underset{j}{k_j},k_{j+1},\ldots,k_{n-1},0} = t'^{(s)}_{0,\ldots,0,\underset{i}{k_i},0,\ldots,0,\underset{j}{k_j-1},k_{j+1},\ldots,k_{n-1},0} \\ & = \frac{t^{(s)}_{0,\ldots,0,\underset{i}{k_i-1},0,\ldots,0,\underset{j}{k_j},k_{j+1},\ldots,k_{n-1},0} t^{(s)}_{0,\ldots,0,\underset{i}{k_i+1},0,\ldots,0,\underset{j}{k_j-1},k_{j+1}\ldots,k_{n-1},0} + t^{(s)}_{0,\ldots,0,\underset{i+1}{k_i},0,\ldots,0,\underset{j}{k_j},k_{j+1},\ldots,k_{n-1},0} t^{(s)}_{0,\ldots,0,\underset{i-1}{k_i},0,\ldots,0,\underset{j}{k_j-1},\ldots,k_{n-1},0}}{t^{(s)}_{0,\ldots,0,\underset{i}{k_i},0,\ldots,0,\underset{j}{k_j-1},k_{j+1},\ldots,k_{n-1},0}}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

where $s = -n + l - [l]_{\mathrm{mod}2} + 1$. Equations (4.16) correspond to Equations (3.4) in the system in Theorem 3.3.

By (4.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \widetilde{t}^{(s-4)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m,0,\ldots,0,k_{n-1},k_n} = \widetilde{t}'^{(s)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m,0,\ldots,0,k_{n-1}-2,k_n} \\ & = \frac{\widetilde{t}^{(s)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m,0,\ldots,0,k_{n-1},k_n-1} \widetilde{t}^{(s-4)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m,0,\ldots,0,k_{n-1}-2,,k_n+1} + \widetilde{t}^{(s-4)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m,0,\ldots,0,2k_n+k_{n-1},0} \widetilde{t}^{(s+4k_n)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m,0,\ldots,0,k_{n-1}-2,0}}{\widetilde{t}^{(s)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m,0,\ldots,0,k_{n-1}-2,k_n}}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

where $s = -4k_n - 2(\sum_{i=r}^{n-1} k_i) - n + r + [r]_{\mathrm{mod}2} + 5$. Equations (4.17) correspond to Equations (3.6) in the system in Theorem 3.3.

By (4.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \widetilde{t}^{(s-4)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m,0,\ldots,0,1,k_n} = \widetilde{t}'^{(s)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m-1,0,\ldots,0,k_n} \\ & = \frac{\widetilde{t}^{(s)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m,0,\ldots,0,1,k_n-1} \widetilde{t}^{(s-4)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m-1,0,\ldots,0,k_n+1} + \widetilde{t}^{(s+4k_n)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m-1,0,\ldots,0,0} \widetilde{t}^{(s-4)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m,0,\ldots,0,2k_n+1,0}}{t^{(s)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m-1,0,\ldots,0,k_n}}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

where $s = -4k_n - 2(\sum_{i=r}^{n-1} k_i) - n + r + [r]_{\mathrm{mod}2} + 5$. Equations (4.20) correspond to Equations (3.5) in the system in Theorem 3.3.

By (4.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{t}^{(s-4)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m,0,\ldots,0,k_l,0,\ldots,0,k_n} &= \widetilde{t}'^{(s)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m,0,\ldots,0,k_l-2,0,\ldots,0,k_n} \\ &= \frac{\widetilde{t}^{(s)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m,0,\ldots,0,k_l,0,\ldots,0,k_n-1}\widetilde{t}^{(s-4)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m,0,\ldots,0,k_l-2,0,\ldots,0,k_n+1} + \widetilde{s}^{(s-4)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m,0,\ldots,0,k_l,0,\ldots,0,2k_n,0}}{\widetilde{t}^{(s)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m,0,\ldots,0,k_l-2,0,\ldots,0,k_n}},\end{aligned} \tag{4.19}$$

where $s = -4k_n - 2(\sum_{i=r}^{n-1} k_i) - n + r + [r]_{\text{mod}2} + 5$. Equations (4.19) correspond to Equations (3.8) in the system in Theorem 3.3.

By (4.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{t}^{(s-4)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m,0,\ldots,0,\underset{l}{1},0,\ldots,0,k_n} &= \widetilde{t}'^{(s)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m-1,0,\ldots,0,\ldots,0,k_n} \\ &= \frac{\widetilde{t}^{(s)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m,0,\ldots,0,\underset{l}{1},0,\ldots,0,k_n-1}\widetilde{t}^{(s-4)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m-1,0,\ldots,0,\ldots,0,k_n+1} + \widetilde{s}^{(s-4)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m,0,\ldots,0,\underset{l}{1},0,\ldots,0,2k_n,0}}{\widetilde{t}^{(s)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m-1,0,\ldots,0,\ldots,0,k_n}},\end{aligned} \tag{4.20}$$

where $s = -4k_n - 2(\sum_{i=r}^{n-1} k_i) - n + r + [r]_{\text{mod}2} + 5$. Equations (4.20) correspond to Equations (3.7) in the system in Theorem 3.3.

Therefore we have the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1. *Every equation in the system in Theorem 3.3 corresponds to a mutation equation of the cluster algebra $\mathscr{A}$. Each minimal affinization in Theorem 3.3 corresponds to a cluster variable in $\mathscr{A}$ defined in Section 4.1. Therefore the Hernandez-Leclerc conjecture (Conjecture 13.2 in* [HL10] *and Conjecture 9.1 in* [Le10]*) is true for the minimal affinizations in Theorem 3.3.*

5. The dual system of Theorem 3.3

In what follows, we study the dual system of the system in Theorem 3.3.

Theorem 5.1 (Theorem 3.9, [Her07])**.** *For $s \in \mathbb{Z}, k_1, \ldots, k_{n-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, the module $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s)}_{k_1,k_2,\ldots,k_{n-1},0}$ is anti-special.*

Theorem 5.2. *For $k_1, \ldots, k_{n-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $k_n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and $s \in \mathbb{Z}$, the modules*

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathcal{T}^{(s)}_{0,\ldots,0,k_{n-i},0,\ldots,0,k_n} \ (1 \leq i \leq n-1), \\ &\mathcal{T}^{(s)}_{0,\ldots,0,k_{n-j},0,\ldots,0,k_{n-i},0,\ldots,0,k_n} \ (1 \leq i < j \leq n-1, \ 0 \leq k_{n-j} \leq i+1), \\ &\mathcal{T}^{(s)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m,0,\ldots,0,k_l,0,\ldots,0,k_n} \ (1 \leq m < l \leq n-1, \ 0 \leq k_1 + \cdots + k_m \leq n-l+1), \\ &\mathcal{S}^{(s)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m,0,\ldots,0,k_l,0,\ldots,0,k_{n-1},0} \ (1 \leq m < l \leq n-2, \ 0 \leq k_1 + \cdots + k_m \leq n-l+1),\end{aligned}$$

are anti-special.

Proof. The proof of the theorem follows from dual arguments in the proof of Theorem 3.2. □

Lemma 5.3. *Let $\iota : \mathbb{Z}\mathcal{P} \to \mathbb{Z}\mathcal{P}$ be a homomorphism of rings such that $Y_{1,aq^s} \mapsto Y^{-1}_{1,aq^{2n-s+2}}$, $Y_{2,aq^s} \mapsto Y^{-1}_{2,aq^{2n-s+2}}, \ldots, Y_{n,aq^s} \mapsto Y^{-1}_{n,aq^{2n-s+2}}$ for all $a \in \mathbb{C}^\times, s \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then*

$$\chi_q(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s)}_{k_1,k_2,\ldots,k_n}) = \iota(\chi_q(\mathcal{T}^{(s)}_{k_1,k_2,\ldots,k_n})), \ \chi_q(\mathcal{T}^{(s)}_{k_1,k_2,\ldots,k_n}) = \iota(\chi_q(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s)}_{k_1,k_2,\ldots,k_n})).$$

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 7.3 in [LM13]. □

Theorem 5.4. *Let $s \in \mathbb{Z}$, $k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. We have*

$$\begin{aligned}[\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s)}_{k_1,k_2-1,k_3,\dots,k_{n-1},0}][\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s)}_{k_1,k_2,k_3,\dots,k_{n-1},0}] =&[\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s)}_{k_1-1,k_2,k_3,\dots,k_{n-1},0}][\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s)}_{k_1+1,k_2-1,k_3,\dots,k_{n-1},0}]\\ &+ [\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s)}_{0,k_2-1,k_3,\dots,k_{n-1},0}][\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s)}_{0,k_1+k_2,k_3,\dots,k_{n-1},0}],\end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

where $k_1, k_2 > 0$;

$$\begin{aligned}[\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s)}_{0,\dots,0,k_i,k_{i+1}-1,k_{i+2},\dots,k_{n-1},0}][\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s)}_{0,\dots,0,k_i,k_{i+1},k_{i+2},\dots,k_{n-1},0}] =&[\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s)}_{0,\dots,0,k_i+1,k_{i+1}-1,k_{i+2},\dots,k_{n-1},0}][\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s)}_{0,\dots,0,k_i-1,k_{i+1},k_{i+2}\dots,k_{n-1},0}]\\ &+ [\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s)}_{0,\dots,0,\underset{i+1}{k_i+k_{i+1}},k_{i+2},\dots,k_{n-1},0}][\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s)}_{0,\dots,0,\underset{i-1}{k_i},0,\underset{i+1}{k_{i+1}-1},k_{i+2},,\dots,k_{n-1},0}],\end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

where $k_i, k_{i+1} > 0$, $1 < i \leq n-2$;

$$\begin{aligned}[\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s)}_{k_1,0,\dots,0,k_j-1,k_{j+1},\dots,k_{n-1},0}][\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s)}_{k_1,0,\dots,0,k_j,k_{j+1},\dots,k_{n-1},0}] =&[\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s)}_{k_1+1,0,\dots,0,k_j-1,k_{j+1},\dots,k_{n-1},0}][\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s)}_{k_1-1,0,\dots,0,k_j,k_{j+1}\dots,k_{n-1},0}]\\ &+ [\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s)}_{0,k_1,0,\dots,0,k_j,k_{j+1},\dots,k_{n-1},0}][\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s)}_{0,\dots,0,k_j-1,k_{j+1},\dots,k_{n-1},0}],\end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

where $2 < j \leq n-1$;

$$\begin{aligned}[\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s)}_{0,\dots,0,\underset{i}{k_i},0,\dots,0,\underset{j}{k_j-1},k_{j+1},\dots,k_{n-1},0}][\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s)}_{0,\dots,0,\underset{i}{k_i},0,\dots,0,\underset{j}{k_j},k_{j+1},\dots,k_{n-1},0}] =&[\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s)}_{0,\dots,0,\underset{i}{k_i-1},0,\dots,0,\underset{j}{k_j},k_{j+1},\dots,k_{n-1},0}][\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s)}_{0,\dots,0,\underset{i}{k_i+1},0,\dots,0,\underset{j}{k_j-1},k_{j+1}\dots,k_{n-1},0}]\\ &+ [\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s)}_{0,\dots,0,\underset{i+1}{k_i},0,\dots,0,\underset{j}{k_j},k_{j+1},\dots,k_{n-1},0}][\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s)}_{0,\dots,0,\underset{i-1}{k_i},0,\dots,0,\underset{j}{k_j-1},k_{j+1},\dots,k_{n-1},0}],\end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

where $2 < i+1 < j \leq n-1$.

In the following, let $k_1, \dots, k_{n-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $k_n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and $s \in \mathbb{Z}$.

$$\begin{aligned}[\mathcal{T}^{(s)}_{k_1,\dots,k_m-1,0,\dots,0,k_n}][\mathcal{T}^{(s-4)}_{k_1,\dots,k_m,0,\dots,0,1,k_n}] =&[\mathcal{T}^{(s)}_{k_1,\dots,k_m,0,\dots,0,1,k_n-1}][\mathcal{T}^{(s-4)}_{k_1,\dots,k_m-1,0,\dots,0,k_n+1}]\\ &+ [\mathcal{T}^{(s+4k_n)}_{k_1,\dots,k_m-1,0,\dots,0,0}][\mathcal{T}^{(s-4)}_{k_1,\dots,k_m,0,\dots,0,2k_n+1,0}],\end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

where $1 \leq m \leq n-2$ and $0 \leq k_1 + \dots + k_m \leq 2$;

$$\begin{aligned}[\mathcal{T}^{(s)}_{k_1,\dots,k_m,0,\dots,0,k_{n-1}-2,k_n}][\mathcal{T}^{(s-4)}_{k_1,\dots,k_m,0,\dots,0,k_{n-1},k_n}] =&[\mathcal{T}^{(s)}_{k_1,\dots,k_m,0,\dots,0,k_{n-1},k_n-1}][\mathcal{T}^{(s-4)}_{k_1,\dots,k_m,0,\dots,0,k_{n-1}-2,,k_n+1}]\\ &+ [\mathcal{T}^{(s+4k_n)}_{k_1,\dots,k_m,0,\dots,0,k_{n-1}-2,0}][\mathcal{T}^{(s-4)}_{k_1,\dots,k_m,0,\dots,0,2k_n+k_{n-1},0}],\end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

where $1 \leq m \leq n-2$, $k_{n-1} \geq 2$ and $0 \leq k_1 + \dots + k_m \leq 2$;

$$\begin{aligned}[][\mathcal{T}^{(s)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m-1,0,\ldots,0,\ldots,0,k_n}][\mathcal{T}^{(s-4)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m,0,\ldots,0,\underset{l}{1},0,\ldots,0,k_n}] =&[\mathcal{T}^{(s)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m,0,\ldots,0,\underset{l}{1},0,\ldots,0,k_n-1}][\mathcal{T}^{(s-4)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m-1,0,\ldots,0,\ldots,0,k_n+1}] \\ &+ [\mathcal{S}^{(s-4)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m,0,\ldots,0,\underset{l}{1},0,\ldots,0,2k_n,0}],\end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

where $1 \leq m < l \leq n-2,\ 0 \leq k_1 + \cdots + k_m \leq n-l+1$;

$$\begin{aligned}[][\mathcal{T}^{(s)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m,0,\ldots,0,k_l-2,0,\ldots,0,k_n}][\mathcal{T}^{(s-4)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m,0,\ldots,0,k_l,0,\ldots,0,k_n}] =&[\mathcal{T}^{(s)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m,0,\ldots,0,k_l,0,\ldots,0,k_n-1}][\mathcal{T}^{(s-4)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m,0,\ldots,0,k_l-2,0,\ldots,0,k_n+1}] \\ &+ [\mathcal{S}^{(s-4)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m,0,\ldots,0,k_l,0,\ldots,0,2k_n,0}],\end{aligned} \tag{5.8}$$

where $1 \leq m < l \leq n-2,\ k_l \geq 2$ *and* $0 \leq k_1 + \cdots + k_m \leq n-l+1$.

Proof. The lowest weight monomial of $\chi_q(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_n})$ is obtained from the highest weight monomial of $\chi_q(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_n})$ by the substitutions:

$$1_s \mapsto 1^{-1}_{2n+s+2},\ 2_s \mapsto 2^{-1}_{2n+s+2}, \ldots, n_s \mapsto n^{-1}_{2n+s+2}.$$

After we apply ι to $\chi_q(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_n})$, the lowest weight monomial of $\chi_q(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_n})$ becomes the highest weight monomial of $\iota(\chi_q(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_n})$. Therefore by Lemma 5.3, the highest weight monomial of $\iota(\chi_q(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_n})$ is obtained from the lowest weight monomial of $\chi_q(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_n})$ by the substitutions:

$$1_s \mapsto 1^{-1}_{2n-s+2},\ 2_s \mapsto 2^{-1}_{2n-s+2}, \ldots,\ n_s \mapsto n^{-1}_{2n-s+2}.$$

It follows that the highest weight monomial of $\iota(\chi_q(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_n})$ is obtained from the highest weight monomial of $\chi_q(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_n})$ by the substitutions:

$$1_s \mapsto 1_{-s},\ 2_s \mapsto 2_{-s}, \ldots,\ n_s \mapsto n_{-s}.$$

Therefore the dual system of type C_n is obtained applying ι to both sides of every equation of the system in Theorem 3.3.

The simplify of every module in the summands on the right hand side of every equation in the dual system follows from Theorem 3.6 and Lemma 5.3. □

Example 5.5. *The following are some equations in the system in Theorem 5.4.*

$$
\begin{aligned}
&[1_2][2_1 1_4] = [1_2 1_4][2_1] + [2_1 2_3],\\
&[1_2 1_4][2_1 1_4 1_6] = [2_1 1_4][1_2 1_4 1_6] + [2_1 2_3 2_5],\\
&[3_2][2_1 3_6] = [2_1][3_2 3_6] + [2_1 2_3 2_5],\\
&[3_2 3_6][2_1 3_6 3_{10}] = [2_1 3_6][3_2 3_6 3_{10}] + [2_1 2_3 2_5 2_7 2_9],\\
&[1_0 3_6][3_2] = [1_0][3_2 3_6] + [1_0 2_3 2_5],\\
&[3_2 3_6][1_0 3_6 3_{10}] = [1_0 3_6][3_2 3_6 3_{10}] + [1_0 2_3 2_5 2_7 2_9],\\
&[3_4][1_0 2_3 3_8] = [1_0 2_3][3_4 3_8] + [1_0 2_3 2_5 2_7],\\
&[3_4 3_8][1_0 2_3 3_8 3_{12}] = [1_0 2_3 3_8][3_4 3_8 3_{12}] + [1_0 2_3 2_5 2_7 2_9 2_{11}],\\
&[4_3][1_0 4_7] = [1_0][4_3 4_7] + [1_0 3_4 3_6],\\
&[4_5][1_0 2_3 4_9] = [1_0 2_3][4_5 4_9] + [1_0 2_3 3_6 3_8],\\
&[1_0 4_7][1_0 1_2 2_5 4_{11}] = [1_0 1_2 2_5][1_0 4_7 4_{11}] + [1_0^2 1_2 2_5 3_8 3_{10}].
\end{aligned}
$$

5.1. **A system corresponding to the system in Theorem 5.4.** By replacing each $[\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_n}]$ (resp. $[\mathcal{T}^{(s)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_n}]$) in the system of Theorem 5.4 with $\chi(\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}_{k_1,\ldots,k_n})$ (resp. $\chi(\mathfrak{m}_{k_1,\ldots,k_n})$), we obtain a system of equations consisting of the characters of $U_q\mathfrak{g}$-modules. The following are two equations in the system.

$$\chi(\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}_{k_1,k_2-1,k_3,\ldots,k_{n-1},0})\chi(\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}_{k_1,k_2,k_3,\ldots,k_{n-1},0}) = \chi(\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}_{k_1-1,k_2,k_3,\ldots,k_{n-1},0})\chi(\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}_{k_1+1,k_2-1,k_3,\ldots,k_{n-1},0}) + \chi(\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}_{0,k_2-1,k_3,\ldots,k_{n-1},0})\chi(\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}_{0,k_1+k_2,k_3,\ldots,k_{n-1},0}),$$

$$\chi(\mathfrak{m}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m-1,0,\ldots,0,k_n})\chi(\mathfrak{m}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m,0,\ldots,0,1,k_n}) = \chi(\mathfrak{m}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m,0,\ldots,0,1,k_n-1})\chi(\mathfrak{m}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m-1,0,\ldots,0,k_n+1}) + \chi(\mathfrak{m}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m-1,0,\ldots,0,0})\chi(\mathfrak{m}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m,0,\ldots,0,2k_n+1,0}).$$

5.2. **Relation between the systems in Theorem 5.4 and cluster algebras.** Let $I_1 = \{1, 2, \ldots, n-1\}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_1 &= \{2i+1 \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}, & S_2 &= \{2i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\},\\
S_3 &= \{4i+1 \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}, & S_4 &= \{4i+3 \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\},\\
S_5 &= \{4i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}, & S_6 &= \{4i+2 \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Let

$$V = ((S_1 \cap I_1) \times S_2) \cup ((S_2 \cap I_1) \times S_1) \cup ((\{n\} \cap S_2) \times S_3) \cup ((\{n\} \cap S_2) \times S_4) \cup ((\{n\} \cap S_1) \times S_5) \cup ((\{n\} \cap S_1) \times S_6).$$

We define $\widetilde{Q}$ with vertex set V as follows. The arrows of $\widetilde{Q}$ from the vertex (i,r) to the vertex (j,s) if and only if $b_{ij} \neq 0$ and $s = r - b_{ij} + d_i - d_j$.

Let $\widetilde{\mathbf{t}} = \widetilde{\mathbf{t}}_1 \cup \widetilde{\mathbf{t}}_2$, where

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{t}}_1 = \{\widetilde{t}^{(-n+i-[i]_{\mathrm{mod}2}+1)}_{0,\ldots,0,k_i,0,\ldots,0} \mid i = 1, \ldots, n-1, k_1, \ldots, k_{n-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}, \tag{5.9}$$

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{t}}_2 = \begin{cases} \{t^{(-4k_n+4)}_{0,\ldots,0,\ldots,0,k_n}, t^{(-4k_n+2)}_{0,\ldots,0,\ldots,0,k_n} \mid \ n \text{ is odd}, \ k_n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}\}, \\[2ex] \{t^{(-4k_n+3)}_{0,\ldots,0,\ldots,0,k_n}, t^{(-4k_n+1)}_{0,\ldots,0,\ldots,0,k_n} \mid \ n \text{ is even}, \ k_n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}\}, \\[2ex] \{t^{(-2k_i-n+i+[i]_{\mathrm{mod}2}+1)}_{0,\ldots,0,k_i,0,\ldots,0} \mid i = 1, \ldots, n-1, \ k_1, \ldots, k_{n-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}. \end{cases} \tag{5.10}$$

Let $\widetilde{\mathscr{A}}$ be the cluster algebra defined by the initial seed $(\widetilde{\mathbf{t}}, \widetilde{Q})$. By similar arguments in Section 4.1, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 5.6. *Every equation in the system in Theorem 5.4 corresponds to a mutation equation of the cluster algebra $\widetilde{\mathscr{A}}$. Every minimal affinization in the system in Theorem 5.4 corresponds to a cluster variable of the cluster algebra $\widetilde{\mathscr{A}}$. Therefore the Hernandez-Leclerc conjecture (Conjecture 13.2 in* [HL10] *and Conjecture 9.1 in* [Le10]*) is true for the minimal affinizations Theorem 5.4.*

6. Proof of theorem 3.2

In this section, we prove Theorem 3.2. Without loss of generality, we suppose that $s = 0$ in $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s)}$, where $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}$ is a module in Theorem 3.2.

6.1. The cases of $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(0)}_{0,\ldots,0,k_{n-i},0,\ldots,0,k_n}$ $(1 \leq i \leq n-1)$.

Case 1. $i = 1$. Let $m_+ = \widetilde{T}^{(0)}_{0,\ldots,0,k_{n-1},k_n}$. Then

$$m_+ = n_0 n_4 \ldots n_{4k_n-4} (n-1)_{4k_n+1} (n-1)_{4k_n+3} \ldots (n-1)_{4k_n+2k_{n-1}-1}.$$

Let

$$U = I \times \{aq^s : s \in \mathbb{Z}, s \leq 4k_n + 2k_{n-1} - 1\}.$$

Since all monomials in $\mathscr{M}(\chi_q(m_+) - \mathrm{trunc}_{m_+\mathcal{Q}_U^-}\chi_q(m_+))$ are right-negative, it is sufficient to show that $\mathrm{trunc}_{m_+\mathcal{Q}_U^-}\chi_q(m_+)$ is special. Let

$$\mathscr{M} = \{m_+ \prod_{j=0}^{s-1} A^{-1}_{n,4k_n-4j-2} : 0 \leq s \leq k_n - 1\}.$$

It is easy to see that $\mathscr{M}$ satisfies the conditions in Theorem 2.6. Therefore

$$\mathrm{trunc}_{m_+\mathcal{Q}_U^-}\chi_q(m_+) = \textstyle\sum_{m \in \mathscr{M}} m$$

and hence $\mathrm{trunc}_{m_+\mathcal{Q}_U^-}\chi_q(m_+)$ is special.

Case 2. $i = 2$. Let $m_+ = \widetilde{T}^{(0)}_{0,\ldots,0,,k_{n-2},0,k_n}$. Then

$$m_+ = n_0 n_4 \ldots n_{4k_n-4} (n-2)_{4k_n+2} (n-2)_{4k_n+4} \ldots (n-2)_{4k_n+2k_{n-2}}.$$

Case 2.1. $k_n = 1$. Let $g_+ = \widetilde{T}^{(0)}_{0,\ldots,0,k_{n-2},0,1}$. Then

$$g_+ = n_0 (n-2)_6 (n-2)_8 \ldots (n-2)_{2k_{n-2}+4}.$$

Let $U = I \times \{aq^s : s \in \mathbb{Z}, s \leq 2m + 4\}$. The monomials in $\mathscr{M}(\chi_q(g_+))$ are

$$g_0 = g_+, \; g_1 = g_0 A^{-1}_{n,2}, \; g_2 = g_1 A^{-1}_{n-1,4}, \; g_3 = g_2 A^{-1}_{n-1,2}, \; g_4 = g_3 A^{-1}_{n,4}.$$

Therefore, the only dominant monomial in $\chi_q(g_+)$ is g_+.

Case 2.2. $k_n > 1$. We write m_+ into two different monomials products, that is, $m_+ = m_1' m_2' = m_1'' m_2''$ for some monomials m_1', m_2', m_1'', m_2''. Since each monomial in the product is special, we can use the Frenkel-Mukhin algorithm to compute the q-characters of the monomial.

By Lemma 2.2, we have $\mathscr{M}(\chi_q(m_+)) \subset \mathscr{M}(\chi_q(m_1')\chi_q(m_2')) \cap \mathscr{M}(\chi_q(m_1'')\chi_q(m_2''))$ and we will show that the only dominant monomial in $\chi_q(m_+)$ is m_+. Hence $\chi_q(m_+)$ is special.

Let $m_+ = m_1'm_2'$, where

$$\begin{aligned} m_1^{'} &= n_0 n_4 \dots n_{4k_n-8}, \\ m_2^{'} &= n_{4k_n-4}(n-2)_{4k_n+2}(n-2)_{4k_n+4}\dots(n-2)_{4k_n+2k_{n-2}}. \end{aligned}$$

In case 2.1, we have shown that $m_2^{'}$ is special. Therefore the Frenkel-Mukhin algorithm works for $m_2^{'}$. We will use the Frenkel-Mukhin algorithm to compute $\chi_q(m_1^{'})\chi_q(m_2^{'})$. Let $m = m_1m_2$ be a dominant monomial, where $m_i \in \mathscr{M}(\chi_q(m_i^{'})), i = 1, 2$.

Suppose that $m_2 \neq m_2^{'}$. If m_2 is right-negative, then m is a right-negative monomial and therefore m is not dominant. This is a contradiction. Hence m_2 is not right-negative. By Case 2.1, m_2 is one of the following monomials

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{m}_1 &= m_2^{'}A^{-1}_{n,4k_n-2} = n^{-1}_{4k_n}(n-1)_{4k_n-3}(n-1)_{4k_n-1}(n-2)_{4k_n+2}(n-2)_{4k_n+4}\dots(n-2)_{4k_n+2k_{n-2}}, \\ \overline{m}_2 &= \overline{m}_1A^{-1}_{n-1,4k_n} = (n-1)_{4k_n-3}(n-1)^{-1}_{4k_n+1}(n-2)_{4k_n}(n-2)_{4k_n+2}(n-2)_{4k_n+4}\dots(n-2)_{4k_n+2k_{n-2}}, \\ \overline{m}_3 &= \overline{m}_2A^{-1}_{n-1,4k_n-2} = (n-1)^{-1}_{4k_n-1}(n-1)^{-1}_{4k_n+1}n_{4k_n-2}(n-2)_{4k_n-2}(n-2)_{4k_n}(n-2)_{4k_n+2}(n-2)_{4k_n+4}\dots(n-2)_{4k_n+2k_{n-2}}, \\ \overline{m}_4 &= \overline{m}_3A^{-1}_{n,4k_n} = n^{-1}_{4k_n+2}(n-2)_{4k_n-2}(n-2)_{4k_n}(n-2)_{4k_n+2}(n-2)_{4k_n+4}\dots(n-2)_{4k_n+2k_{n-2}}. \end{aligned}$$

We can see that $n^{-1}_{4k_n}$ cannot be canceled by any monomial in $\chi_q(m_1^{'})$. Therefore $m = m_1m_2(m_1 \in \chi_q(m_1^{'}))$ is not dominant. This is a contradiction. Hence $m_2 \neq \overline{m}_1$. Similarly, m_2 cannot be $\overline{m}_i, i = 2, 3, 4$. This is a contradiction. Therefore $m_2 = m_2^{'}$.

If $m_1 \neq m_1^{'}$, then m_1 is right-negative. Since m is dominant, each factor with a negative power in m_1 needs to be canceled by a factor in $m_2^{'}$. The only factor in $m_2^{'}$ which can be canceled is n_{4k_n-4}. We have $\mathscr{M}(\chi_q(m_1^{'})) \subset \mathscr{M}(\chi_q(n_0n_4\dots n_{4k_n-12}))\chi_q(n_{4k_n-8})$. Only monomials in $\chi_q(n_{4k_n-8})$ can cancel n_{4k_n-4}. The only monomial in $\chi_q(n_{4k_n-8})$ which can cancel n_{4k_n-4} is $n^{-1}_{4k_n-4}(n-1)_{4k_n-7}(n-1)_{4k_n-5}$. Therefore m_1 is in the set

$$\mathscr{M}(\chi_q(n_0n_4\dots n_{4k_n-12}))n^{-1}_{4k_n-4}(n-1)_{4k_n-7}(n-1)_{4k_n-5}.$$

If $m_1 = (n_0n_4\dots n_{4k_n-12})n^{-1}_{4k_n-4}(n-1)_{4k_n-7}(n-1)_{4k_n-5}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} m = m_1m_2 = & n_0n_4\dots n_{4k_n-12}(n-1)_{4k_n-7}(n-1)_{4k_n-5} \\ & (n-2)_{4k_n+2}(n-2)_{4k_n+4}\dots(n-2)_{4k_n+2k_{n-2}} \end{aligned} \tag{6.1}$$

is dominant. Suppose that

$$m_1 \neq (n_0n_4\dots n_{4k_n-12})n^{-1}_{4k_n-4}(n-1)_{4k_n-7}(n-1)_{4k_n-5}.$$

Then $m_1 = g_1n^{-1}_{4k_n-4}(n-1)_{4k_n-7}(n-1)_{4k_n-5}$, where g_1 is a nonhighest monomial in $\chi_q(n_0n_4\dots n_{4k_n-12})$. Since g_1 is right-negative, $(n-1)_{4k_n-7}$ or $(n-1)_{4k_n-5}$ should cancel a factor of g_1 with a negative power. It is easy to see that there exists either a factor $(n-1)^2_{4k_n-9}$ or $(n-1)^2_{4k_n-7}$ in a monomial in $\chi_q(n_0n_4\dots n_{4k_n-12})n^{-1}_{4k_n-4}(n-1)_{4k_n-7}(n-1)_{4k_n-5}$ by using the Frenkel-Mukhin algorithm. Therefore we need a factor $(n-1)_{4k_n-9}$ or $(n-1)_{4k_n-7}$ in a monomial in $\chi_q(n_0 n_4 \dots n_{4k_n-12})$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}&\chi_q(n_0 n_4 \dots n_{4k_n-12}) n^{-1}_{4k_n-4}(n-1)_{4k_n-7}(n-1)_{4k_n-5}\\ &\quad\subseteq \chi_q(n_0 n_4 \dots n_{4k_n-16})\chi_q(n_{4k_n-12}) n^{-1}_{4k_n-4}(n-1)_{4k_n-7}(n-1)_{4k_n-5}.\end{aligned}$$

The factors $(n-1)_{4k_n-9}$ and $(n-1)_{4k_n-7}$ can only come from the monomials in $\chi_q(n_{4k_n-12})$. But notice that any monomial in $\chi_q(n_{4k_n-12})$ dose not have a factor $(n-1)_{4k_n-9}$. The only monomial in $\chi_q(n_{4k_n-12})$ which contains a factor $(n-1)_{4k_n-7}$ is

$$(n-3)_{4k_n-7}(n-2)_{4k_n-10}(n-2)^{-1}_{4k_n-6}(n-1)_{4k_n-7} n^{-1}_{4k_n-6}.$$

Therefore m_1 is in the set

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathscr{M}(\chi_q(n_0 n_4 \dots n_{4k_n-16}))(n-3)_{4k_n-7}(n-2)_{4k_n-10}(n-2)^{-1}_{4k_n-6}(n-1)_{4k_n-7} n^{-1}_{4k_n-6}\\ &\quad n^{-1}_{4k_n-4}(n-1)_{4k_n-7}(n-1)_{4k_n-5}\\ &=(\chi_q(n_0 n_4 \dots n_{4k_n-16}))(n-3)_{4k_n-7}(n-2)_{4k_n-10}(n-2)^{-1}_{4k_n-6} n^{-1}_{4k_n-6}\\ &\quad n^{-1}_{4k_n-4}(n-1)^2_{4k_n-7}(n-1)_{4k_n-5}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $m = m_1 m_2$ is dominant, $(n-2)^{-1}_{4k_n-6} n^{-1}_{4k_n-6}$ should be canceled by some monomial in $\chi_q(n_0 n_4 \dots n_{4k_n-16})$. But notice that $(n-2)^{-1}_{4k_n-6} n^{-1}_{4k_n-6}$ cannot be canceled by any monomial in $\chi_q(n_0 n_4 \dots n_{4k_n-16})$. This is a contradiction.

Therefore the only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(m_1^{'})\chi_q(m_2^{'})$ are m_+ and 6.1.

On the other hand, let $m_+ = m_1^{''} m_2^{''}$, where

$$\begin{aligned}m_1^{''} &= n_0 n_4 \dots n_{4k_n-4},\\ m_2^{''} &= (n-2)_{4k_n+2}(n-2)_{4k_n+4}\dots(n-2)_{4k_n+2k_{n-2}}.\end{aligned}$$

The monomial 6.1 is

$$g = m_+ A^{-1}_{n,4k_n-6}. \tag{6.2}$$

Since $A_{i,a}, i \in I, a \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ are algebraically independent, the expression 6.2 of g of the form $m_+ \prod_{i\in I, a\in\mathbb{C}^\times} A^{-v_{i,a}}_{i,a}$, where $v_{i,a}$ are some integers, is unique. Suppose that the monomial g is in $\chi_q(m_1^{''})\chi_q(m_2^{''})$. Then $g = g_1 g_2$, where $g_i \in \mathscr{M}(\chi_q(m_i^{''})), i = 1, 2$. By the expression 6.2, we have $g_2 = m_2^{''}$ and $g_1 = m_1^{''} A^{-1}_{n,4k_n-6}$. By the Frenkel-Mukhin algorithm, the monomial $m_1^{''} A^{-1}_{n,4k_n-6}$ is not in $\mathscr{M}(\chi_q(m_1^{''}))$. This contradicts the fact that $g_1 \in \mathscr{M}(\chi_q(m_1^{''}))$. Therefore g is not in $\chi_q(m_1^{''})\chi_q(m_2^{''})$.

Case 3. $i \geq 3$. Let $m_+ = \widetilde{T}^{(0)}_{0,\dots,0,k_{n-i},0,\dots,0,k_n}$. Then

$$m_+ = n_0 n_4 \dots n_{4k_n-4}(n-i)_{4k_n+i}(n-i)_{4k_n+i+2}\dots(n-i)_{4k_n+2k_{n-i}+i-2}.$$

Case 3.1. $k_n = 1$. Let

$$g_+ = n_0(n-i)_{i+4}(n-i)_{i+6}\cdots(n-i)_{2k_{n-i}+i+2}.$$

Since $\mathscr{M}(\chi_q(n_0(n-i)_{i+4})) \subset \mathscr{M}(\chi_q(n_0)\chi_q((n-i)_{i+4}))$, by using the Frenkel-Mukhin algorithm, we show that the only possible dominant monomials in $\chi_q(n_0)\chi_q((n-i)_{i+4})$ are $n_0(n-i)_{i+4}$ and $(n-1)_1(n-i-1)_{i+3}$.

Let

$$m' = (n-1)_1(n-i-1)_{i+3}, \quad M = n_0 A_{n,2}^{-1} A_{n-1,4}^{-1} A_{n-2,5}^{-1} \cdots A_{n-i+1,i+2}^{-1}.$$

By Theorem 2.4, m' is not in $\mathscr{M}(\chi_q(n_0(n-i)_{i+4}))$. Therefore the only dominant monomial in $\mathscr{M}(\chi_q(n_0(n-i)_{i+4}))$ is $n_0(n-i)_{i+4}$.

In the following, let

$$\begin{aligned} g_1'' &= n_0, \\ g_1' &= n_0(n-i)_{i+4}, \\ g_2' &= (n-i)_{i+6} \cdots (n-i)_{2k_{n-i}+i+2}, \\ g_2'' &= (n-i)_{i+4}(n-i)_{i+6} \cdots (n-i)_{2k_{n-i}+i+2}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\mathscr{M}(\chi_q(g_+)) \subset \mathscr{M}(\chi_q(g_1')\chi_q(g_2')) \cap \mathscr{M}(\chi_q(g_1'')\chi_q(g_2''))$. By using similar arguments as the case of $i=2$, if we expand $(n-i)_{i+4}$ in g_1', suppose that there are dominant monomials $\overline{g}_1, \overline{g}_2, \ldots$, in $\chi_q(g_1')\chi_q(g_2')$, they will not be in $\chi_q(g_1'')\chi_q(g_2'')$ since $(n-i)_{i+4}$ dose not be expanded in g_2''. So we only need to expand n_0 in g_1'. Let

$$g_1 = n_0(n-i)_{i+4}A_{n,2}^{-1}, \ g_2 = g_1 A_{n-1,4}^{-1}, \ g_3 = g_2 A_{n-2,5}^{-1}, \ \ldots, \ g_i = g_{i-1}A_{n-i+1,i+2}^{-1}.$$

At this point, $(n-i)_{i+2}(n-i)_{i+4}$ is in the expression of g_i, so $(n-i)_{i+2}$ can not be expanded. Notice that this situation will not occur if we expand n_0 in g_1''. Hence, except g^+, even through there are dominant monomials in $\chi_q(g_1')\chi_q(g_2')$, they will not be in $\chi_q(g_1'')\chi_q(g_2'')$. Therefore, the only dominant monomial in $\chi_q(g_+)$ is g_+.

Case 3.2. $k_n > 1$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} h_1' &= n_0 n_4 \cdots n_{4k_n-4}, \\ h_2' &= (n-i)_{4k_n+i}, \\ h_1'' &= n_0 n_4 \cdots n_{4k_n-8}, \\ h_2'' &= n_{4k_n-4}(n-i)_{4k_n+i}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\mathscr{M}(\chi_q(n_0 \cdots n_{4k_n-4}(n-i)_{4k_n+i})) \subset \mathscr{M}(\chi_q(h_1')\chi_q(h_2')) \cap \mathscr{M}(\chi_q(h_1'')\chi_q(h_2''))$.

By using similar arguments as the case of $k_n = 1$, we can see that the only possible dominant monomial in $\mathscr{M}(\chi_q(h_1')\chi_q(h_2')) \cap \mathscr{M}(\chi_q(h_1'')\chi_q(h_2''))$ is $h_1'h_2'$.

In what follows, let

$$\begin{aligned} m_1' &= n_0 \cdots n_{4k_n-4}(n-i)_{4k_n+i}, \\ m_1'' &= n_0 \cdots n_{4k_n-4}, \\ m_2' &= (n-i)_{4k_n+i+2} \cdots (n-i)_{4k_n+2k_{n-i}+i-2}, \\ m_2'' &= (n-i)_{4k_n+i}(n-i)_{4k_n+i+2} \cdots (n-i)_{4k_n+2k_{n-i}+i-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\mathscr{M}(\chi_q(m_+)) \subset \mathscr{M}(\chi_q(m_1')\chi_q(m_2')) \cap \mathscr{M}(\chi_q(m_1'')\chi_q(m_2''))$.

By using similar arguments as the case of above, we can see that the only possible dominant monomial in $\mathscr{M}(\chi_q(m_1')\chi_q(m_2')) \cap \mathscr{M}(\chi_q(m_1'')\chi_q(m_2''))$ is m_+. Therefore the only dominant monomial in $\chi_q(m_+)$ is m_+.

6.2. The case of $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(0)}_{0,\dots,0,k_{n-j},0,\dots,0,k_{n-i},0,\dots,0,k_n}$ $(1 \leq i < j \leq n-1,\ 0 \leq k_{n-j} \leq i+1)$.

Case 1. If $i = 1$, then $0 \leq k_{n-j} \leq 2$. Without loss of generality, suppose that $k_{n-j} = 1$. When $k_{n-j} = 2$, the proof is similar. If $k_{n-j} = 0$, the proof is coincide with the case of $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(0)}_{0,\dots,0,k_{n-i},0,\dots,0,k_n}$ $(1 \leq i \leq n-1)$. Let $m_+ = \widetilde{T}^{(0)}_{0,\dots,0,k_{n-j},0,\dots,0,k_{n-1},k_n}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} m_+ = & n_0 n_4 \dots n_{4k_n-4}(n-1)_{4k_n+1}(n-1)_{4k_n+3}\dots \\ & (n-1)_{4k_n+2k_{n-1}-1}(n-j)_{4k_n+2k_{n-1}+j}. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} m_1' &= n_0 n_4 \dots n_{4k_n-4}, \\ m_2' &= (n-1)_{4k_n+1}(n-1)_{4k_n+3}\dots(n-1)_{4k_n+2k_{n-1}-1}, \\ m_3' &= (n-j)_{4k_n+2k_{n-1}+j}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\mathscr{M}(\chi_q(m_+)) \subset \mathscr{M}(\chi_q(m_1')\chi_q(m_2'm_3')) \cap \mathscr{M}(\chi_q(m_1'm_2')\chi_q(m_3'))$. Note that we have shown that $m_1'm_2'$ is special in the case of $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(0)}_{0,\dots,0,k_{n-1},k_n}$. Therefore the Frenkel-Mukhin algorithm applies to $m_1'm_2'$.

By using similar arguments as the case of $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(0)}_{0,\dots,0,k_{n-i},0,\dots,0,k_n}$, we show that the only possible dominant monomials in $\chi_q(m_1'm_2')\chi_q(m_3')$ are m_+ and

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 = & n_0 n_4 \dots n_{4k_n-4} n_{4k_n+2k_{n-1}}(n-1)_{4k_n+1}\dots(n-1)_{4k_n+2k_{n-1}-3}(n-j-1)_{4k_n+2k_{n-1}+j-1} \\ = & m_+ A^{-1}_{n-j,4k_n+2k_{n-1}+j-1} A^{-1}_{n-j+1,4k_n+2k_{n-1}+j-2} A^{-1}_{n-j+2,4k_n+2k_{n-1}+j-3} \cdots A^{-1}_{n-1,4k_n+2k_{n-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, g_1 is not in $\chi_q(m_1')\chi_q(m_2'm_3')$. Therefore the only dominant monomial in $\chi_q(m_+)$ is m_+.

Case 2. If $i = 2$, then $0 \leq k_{n-j} \leq 3$. Since the proof of each case of is similar to each other, we give a detailed proof of the case of $k_{n-j} = 2$. Let $m_+ = \widetilde{T}^{(0)}_{0,\dots,0,k_{n-j},0,\dots,0,k_{n-2},0,k_n}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} m_+ = & n_0 n_4 \dots n_{4k_n-4}(n-2)_{4k_n+2}(n-2)_{4k_n+4}\dots(n-2)_{4k_n+2k_{n-2}} \\ & (n-j)_{4k_n+2k_{n-2}+j}(n-j)_{4k_n+2k_{n-2}+j+2}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, let

$$\begin{aligned} m_1' &= n_0 n_4 \dots n_{4k_n-4}(n-2)_{4k_n+2}, \\ m_1'' &= n_0 n_4 \dots n_{4k_n-4}, \\ m_2' &= (n-2)_{4k_n+4}\dots(n-2)_{4k_n+2k_{n-2}}(n-j)_{4k_n+2k_{n-2}+j}(n-j)_{4k_n+2k_{n-2}+j+2}, \\ m_2'' &= (n-2)_{4k_n+2}(n-2)_{4k_n+4}\dots(n-2)_{4k_n+2k_{n-2}}(n-j)_{4k_n+2k_{n-2}+j}(n-j)_{4k_n+2k_{n-2}+j+2}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\mathscr{M}(\chi_q(m_+)) \subset \mathscr{M}(\chi_q(m_1')\chi_q(m_2')) \cap \mathscr{M}(\chi_q(m_1'')\chi_q(m_2''))$. Since we have shown that m_1' are special in the case of $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(0)}_{0,\dots,0,k_{n-2},0,k_n}$, the Frenkel-Mukhin algorithm applies to m_1'.

By using similar arguments as the case of $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(0)}_{0,\dots,0,k_{n-i},0,\dots,0,k_n}$, if we expand $(n-2)_{4k_n+2}$ in m_1', suppose that there are dominant monomials $\overline{m}_1, \overline{m}_2, \dots$ in $\chi_q(m_1')\chi_q(m_2')$, they will not be in $\chi_q(m_1'')\chi_q(m_2'')$ since $(n-2)_{4k_n+2}$ dose not be expanded in m_2''. So we only need to expand n_{4k_n-4} in m_1'. Let

$$m_1 = m_1' A^{-1}_{n,4k_n-2}, \quad m_2 = m_1 A^{-1}_{n-1,4k_n}.$$

At this point, $(n-2)_{4k_n}(n-2)_{4k_n+2}$ is in the expression of m_2, so $(n-2)_{4k_n}$ can not be expanded. Notice that this situation will not occur if we expand n_{4k_n-4} in m_1''. Hence, except m^+, even through there are dominant monomials in $\chi_q(m_1')\chi_q(m_2')$, they will not be in $\chi_q(m_1'')\chi_q(m_2'')$. Therefore the only dominant monomial in $\chi_q(m_+)$ is m_+.

Case 3. Suppose that $2 < i < j \leq n-1$. Let $m_+ = \widetilde{T}^{(0)}_{0,\dots,0,k_{n-j},0,\dots,0,k_{n-i},0,\dots,0,k_n}$, where $0 \leq k_{n-j} \leq i+1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} m_+ =& n_0 \cdots n_{4k_n-4}(n-i)_{4k_n+i} \cdots (n-i)_{4k_n+2k_{n-i}+i-2} \\ & (n-j)_{4k_n+2k_{n-i}+j} \cdots (n-j)_{4k_n+2k_{n-i}+2k_{n-j}+j-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} m_1' =& n_0 n_4 \dots n_{4k_n-4}(n-i)_{4k_n+i}, \\ m_1'' =& n_0 n_4 \dots n_{4k_n-4}, \\ m_2' =& (n-i)_{4k_n+i+2} \dots (n-i)_{4k_n+2k_{n-i}+i-2}(n-j)_{4k_n+2k_{n-i}+j} \cdots (n-j)_{4k_n+2k_{n-i}+2k_{n-j}+j-2}, \\ m_2'' =& (n-i)_{4k_n+i}(n-i)_{4k_n+i+2} \dots (n-i)_{4k_n+2k_{n-i}+i-2}(n-j)_{4k_n+2k_{n-i}+j} \cdots (n-j)_{4k_n+2k_{n-i}+2k_{n-j}+j-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\mathscr{M}(\chi_q(m_+)) \subset \mathscr{M}(\chi_q(m_1')\chi_q(m_2')) \cap \mathscr{M}(\chi_q(m_1'')\chi_q(m_2''))$. We have shown that m_1' is special in the case $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(0)}_{0,\dots,0,k_{n-i},0,\dots,0,k_n}$, the Frenkel-Mukhin algorithm applies to m_1'.

By using similar arguments as the case of $i = 2$, we show that the only possible dominant monomials in $\mathscr{M}(\chi_q(m_1')\chi_q(m_2')) \cap \mathscr{M}(\chi_q(m_1'')\chi_q(m_2''))$ is m_+. Therefore the only dominant monomial in $\chi_q(m_+)$ is m_+.

6.3. The case of $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(0)}_{k_1,\dots,k_m,0,\dots,0,k_l,0,\dots,0,k_n}$ $(1 \leq m < l \leq n-1,\ 0 \leq k_1 + \cdots + k_m \leq n-l+1)$.

Case 1. If $l = n-1$, then $0 \leq k_1 + \cdots + k_m \leq 2$. In this case, it is sufficient to show the module $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(0)}_{0,\dots,0,k_{n-j},0,\dots,0,k_{n-i},0,\dots,0,k_{n-1},k_n}$ $(0 \leq k_{n-i} + k_{n-j} \leq 2)$ is special. Note that if $k_{n-i} = 0$ (resp. $k_{n-j} = 0$), then $0 \leq k_{n-j} \leq 2$ (resp. $0 \leq k_{n-i} \leq 2$), the case is coincide with the case of $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(0)}_{0,\dots,0,k_{n-i},0,\dots,0,k_{n-1},k_n}$ $(0 \leq k_{n-i} \leq 2)$. Therefore, let $k_{n-i} = k_{n-j} = 1$ and $m_+ = \widetilde{T}^{(0)}_{0,\dots,0,\underset{n-j}{1},0,\dots,0,\underset{n-i}{1},0,\dots,0,k_{n-1},k_n}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} m_+ =& n_0 n_4 \dots n_{4k_n-4}(n-1)_{4k_n+1}(n-1)_{4k_n+3} \dots (n-1)_{4k_n+2k_{n-1}-1} \\ & (n-i)_{4k_n+2k_{n-1}+i}(n-j)_{4k_n+2k_{n-1}+j+2}. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} m_1' &= n_0 n_4 \dots n_{4k_n-4}, \\ m_2' &= (n-1)_{4k_n+1}(n-1)_{4k_n+3} \dots (n-1)_{4k_n+2k_{n-1}-1}, \\ m_3' &= (n-i)_{4k_n+2k_{n-1}+i}, \\ m_4' &= (n-j)_{4k_n+2k_{n-1}+j+2}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\mathscr{M}(\chi_q(m_+)) \subset \mathscr{M}(\chi_q(m_1'm_2'm_3')\chi_q(m_4')) \cap \mathscr{M}(\chi_q(m_1'm_2')\chi_q(m_3'm_4'))$. Note that we have shown that $m_1'm_2'$ is special in the case $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(0)}_{0,\dots,0,k_{n-1},k_n}$. Therefore the Frenkel-Mukhin algorithm applies to $m_1'm_2'$.

By using similar arguments as the case of $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(0)}_{0,\dots,0,k_{n-j},0,\dots,0,k_{n-1},k_n}$, we show that the only possible dominant monomials in $\chi_q(m'_1m'_2)\chi_q(m'_3m'_4))$ are m_+ and

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 =& n_0n_4\dots n_{4k_n-4}n_{4k_n+2k_{n-1}}(n-1)_{4k_n+1}\dots(n-1)_{4k_n+2k_{n-1}-3}\\ &(n-i-1)_{4k_n+2k_{n-1}+i-1}(n-j)_{4k_n+2k_{n-1}+j+2}\\ =&m_+A^{-1}_{n-i,4k_n+2k_{n-1}+i-1}A^{-1}_{n-i+1,4k_n+2k_{n-1}+i-2}A^{-1}_{n-i+2,4k_n+2k_{n-1}+i-3}\cdots A^{-1}_{n-1,4k_n+2k_{n-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, g_1 is not in $\chi_q(m'_1m'_2m'_3)\chi_q(m'_4)$. Therefore the only dominant monomial in $\chi_q(m_+)$ is m_+.

Case 2. If $l = n-2$, then $0 \le k_1 + \cdots + k_m \le 3$. When $k_1 + \cdots + k_m = 2$, by using the similar arguments as the case of $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(0)}_{0,\dots,0,k_{n-j},0,\dots,0,k_{n-i},0,\dots,0,k_{n-1},k_n}$, we can show that the module $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(0)}_{0,\dots,0,k_{n-j},0,\dots,0,k_{n-i},0,\dots,0,k_{n-2},0,k_n}$ is special. Now, we consider the case of

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(0)}_{0,\dots,0,k_{n-t},0,\dots,0,k_{n-j},0,\dots,0,k_{n-i},0,\dots,0,k_{n-2},0,k_n}\ (k_{n-t} = k_{n-j} = k_{n-i} = 1).$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} m_+ =& n_0\dots n_{4k_n-4}(n-2)_{4k_n+2}\dots(n-2)_{4k_n+2k_{n-2}}(n-i)_{4k_n+2k_{n-2}+i}\\ &(n-j)_{4k_n+2k_{n-2}+j+2}(n-t)_{4k_n+2k_{n-2}+t+4}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, let

$$\begin{aligned} m'_1 &= n_0\dots n_{4k_n-4}(n-2)_{4k_n+2}\dots(n-2)_{4k_n+2k_{n-2}},\\ m'_2 &= (n-i)_{4k_n+2k_{n-2}+i}(n-j)_{4k_n+2k_{n-2}+j+2}(n-t)_{4k_n+2k_{n-2}+t+4},\\ m''_1 &= n_0\dots n_{4k_n-4}(n-2)_{4k_n+2}\dots(n-2)_{4k_n+2k_{n-2}}(n-i)_{4k_n+2k_{n-2}+i},\\ m''_2 &= (n-j)_{4k_n+2k_{n-2}+j+2}(n-t)_{4k_n+2k_{n-2}+t+4}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\mathscr{M}(\chi_q(m_+)) \subset \mathscr{M}(\chi_q(m'_1)\chi_q(m'_2)) \cap \mathscr{M}(\chi_q(m''_1)\chi_q(m''_2))$. Note that we have shown that m''_1 is special in the case $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(0)}_{0,\dots,0,k_{n-j},0,\dots,0,k_{n-2},0,k_n}$. Therefore the Frenkel-Mukhin algorithm applies to m''_1. By using similar arguments as the case of $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(0)}_{0,\dots,0,k_{n-j},0,\dots,0,k_{n-i},0,\dots,0,k_n}$, we show that the only possible dominant monomials in $\mathscr{M}(\chi_q(m'_1)\chi_q(m'_2)) \cap \mathscr{M}(\chi_q(m''_1)\chi_q(m''_2))$ is m_+. Therefore the only dominant monomial in $\chi_q(m_+)$ is m_+.

Note that when $l = 2$, the case $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(0)}_{k_1,k_2,0,\dots,0,k_n}$ $(0 \le k_1 \le n-1)$ is coincide with the case of $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(0)}_{0,\dots,0,k_{n-j},0,\dots,0,k_{n-i},0,\dots,0,k_n}$ $(0 \le k_{n-j} \le i+1)$; when $l = 1$, the case $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(0)}_{k_1,0,\dots,0,k_n}$ is coincide with the case of $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(0)}_{0,\dots,0,k_{n-i},0,\dots,0,k_n}$ $(1 \le i \le n-1)$. Therefore, the remaining case is $l \in \{n-3, n-4, \dots, 3\}$. Since the proof of each case is similar to the case of $l = n-2$, by using similar arguments as the above, we deduce that the module

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(0)}_{k_1,\dots,k_m,0,\dots,0,k_l,0,\dots,0,k_n}\ (1 \le m < l \le n-1,\ 0 \le k_1 + \cdots + k_m \le n-l+1),$$

is special.

6.4. The case of $\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}^{(0)}_{k_1,\dots,k_m,0,\dots,0,k_l,0,\dots,0,k_{n-1},0}$ $(1 \le m < l \le n-2,\ 0 \le k_1 + \cdots + k_m \le n-l+1)$.

Since the proof of each case is similar to each other, we give a detailed proof of the case of

$l = n-2$ and $k_l = 1$. Let $m_+ = \widetilde{S}^{(0)}_{0,\ldots,0,k_i,0,\ldots,0,k_j,0,\ldots,0,k_t,0,\ldots,0,\underset{l}{1},k_{n-1},0}$, where $k_i = k_j = k_t = 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} m_+ =& \widetilde{T}^{(0)}_{0,\ldots,0,k_i,0,\ldots,0,k_j,0,\ldots,0,k_t,0,\ldots,0,1,k_{n-1},0} \widetilde{T}^{(2k_{n-1}+4)}_{0,\ldots,0,k_i,0,\ldots,0,k_j,0,\ldots,\underset{k_t-1}{0},\ldots,0,0,0} \\ =&(n-1)_1(n-1)_3\ldots(n-1)_{2k_{n-1}-1}(n-2)_{2k_{n-1}+2} \\ & t_{2k_{n-1}+n-t+2} j^2_{2k_{n-1}+n-j+4} i^2_{2k_{n-1}+n-i+6}. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} m'_1 &= (n-1)_1(n-1)_3\ldots(n-1)_{2k_{n-1}-1}(n-2)_{2k_{n-1}+2} t_{2k_{n-1}+n-t+2}, \\ m'_2 &= j^2_{2k_{n-1}+n-j+4} i^2_{2k_{n-1}+n-i+6}, \\ m''_1 &= (n-1)_1(n-1)_3\ldots(n-1)_{2k_{n-1}-1}(n-2)_{2k_{n-1}+2}, \\ m''_2 &= t_{2k_{n-1}+n-t+2} j^2_{2k_{n-1}+n-j+4} i^2_{2k_{n-1}+n-i+6}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\mathscr{M}(\chi_q(m_+)) \subset \mathscr{M}(\chi_q(m'_1)\chi_q(m'_2)) \cap \mathscr{M}(\chi_q(m''_1)\chi_q(m''_2))$. It is easy to see that m'_2 and m''_2 are special. Therefore the Frenkel-Mukhin algorithm applies to m'_2, m''_2.

By using similar arguments as the case of $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(0)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m,0,\ldots,0,k_l,0,\ldots,0,k_n}$, we show that the only possible dominant monomials in $\mathscr{M}(\chi_q(m'_1)\chi_q(m'_2)) \cap \mathscr{M}(\chi_q(m''_1)\chi_q(m''_2))$ is m_+. Therefore the only dominant monomial in $\chi_q(m_+)$ is m_+.

7. PROOF OF THEOREM 3.3

7.1. **Classification of dominant monomials in the summands on both sides of the system.** In Section 3, we have shown that for $s \in \mathbb{Z}, k_1, \ldots, k_{n-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $k_n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, the modules in Theorem 3.2 are special. Now we use the Frenkel-Mukhin algorithm to classify dominant monomials in the summands on both sides of the system in Theorem 3.3.

Lemma 7.1. *The dominant monomials in each summand on the left and right hand sides of every equation in the system in Theorem 3.3 are given in Table 1.*

We will prove Lemma 7.1 in Section 7.3.

In Table 1, $M\prod_{0\leq j\leq r} A^{-1}_{i,s} = M$ for $r=-1$, $s \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Equations	Summands in the equations	M	Dominant monomials
(3.1)	$\chi_q(\mathcal{T}^{(s)}_{k_1,k_2-1,k_3,\dots,k_{n-1},0})\times$ $\times\chi_q(\mathcal{T}^{(s)}_{k_1,k_2,k_3,\dots,k_{n-1},0})$	$M=T^{(s)}_{k_1,k_2-1,k_3,\dots,k_{n-1},0}\times$ $\times T^{(s)}_{k_1,k_2,k_3,\dots,k_{n-1},0})$	$M\prod_{0\leq j\leq r}A^{-1}_{1,-s-2(\sum_{i=2}^{n-1}k_i)-n-2j+2}$, $-1\leq r\leq k_1-1$
(3.1)	$\chi_q(\mathcal{T}^{(s)}_{k_1-1,k_2,k_3,\dots,k_{n-1},0})\times$ $\times\chi_q(\mathcal{T}^{(s-2)}_{k_1+1,k_2-1,k_3,\dots,k_{n-1},0})$	$M=T^{(s)}_{k_1-1,k_2,k_3,\dots,k_{n-1},0}\times$ $\times T^{(s-2)}_{k_1+1,k_2-1,k_3,\dots,k_{n-1},0}$	$M\prod_{0\leq j\leq r}A^{-1}_{1,-s-2(\sum_{i=2}^{n-1}k_i)-n-2j+2}$, $-1\leq r\leq k_1-2$
(3.1)	$\chi_q(\mathcal{T}^{(s)}_{0,k_1+k_2,k_3,\dots,k_{n-1},0})\times$ $\times\chi_q(\mathcal{T}^{(s)}_{0,k_2-1,k_3,\dots,k_{n-1}},0)$	$M=T^{(s)}_{0,k_1+k_2,k_3,\dots,k_{n-1},0}\times$ $\times T^{(s)}_{0,k_2-1,k_3,\dots,k_{n-1},0}$	M
(3.2)	$\chi_q(\mathcal{T}^{(s)}_{0,\dots,0,k_i,k_{i+1}-1,k_{i+2},\dots,k_{n-1},0})\times$ $\times\chi_q(\mathcal{T}^{(s)}_{0,\dots,0,k_i,k_{i+1},k_{i+2},\dots,k_{n-1},0})$	$M=T^{(s)}_{0,\dots,0,k_i,k_{i+1},k_{i+2},\dots,k_{n-1},0}\times$ $\times T^{(s)}_{0,\dots,0,k_i,k_{i+1}-1,k_{i+2},\dots,k_{n-1},0}$	$M\prod_{0\leq j\leq r}A^{-1}_{i,-s-2(\sum_{p=i+1}^{n-1}k_p)-n-2j+i+1}$, $-1\leq r\leq k_i-1$
(3.2)	$\chi_q(\mathcal{T}^{(s)}_{0,\dots,0,k_i+1,k_{i+1}-1,k_{i+2},\dots,k_{n-1},0})\times$ $\times\chi_q(\mathcal{T}^{(s)}_{0,\dots,0,k_i-1,k_{i+1},k_{i+2}\dots,k_{n-1},0})$	$M=T^{(s)}_{0,\dots,0,k_i+1,k_{i+1}-1,k_{i+2},\dots,k_{n-1},0}\times$ $\times T^{(s)}_{0,\dots,0,k_i-1,k_{i+1},k_{i+2}\dots,k_{n-1},0}$	$M\prod_{0\leq j\leq r}A^{-1}_{i,-s-2(\sum_{p=i+1}^{n-1}k_p)-n-2j+i+1}$, $-1\leq r\leq k_i-2$
(3.2)	$\chi_q(\mathcal{T}^{(s)}_{0,\dots,0,\underset{i+1}{k_i+k_{i+1}},k_{i+2},\dots,k_{n-1},0})\times$ $\times\chi_q(\mathcal{T}^{(s)}_{0,\dots,0,\underset{i-1}{k_i},0,\underset{i+1}{k_{i+1}-1},k_{i+2},,\dots,k_{n-1},0})$	$M=T^{(s)}_{0,\dots,0,\underset{i+1}{k_i+k_{i+1}},k_{i+2},\dots,k_{n-1},0}\times$ $\times T^{(s)}_{0,\dots,0,\underset{i-1}{k_i},0,\underset{i+1}{k_{i+1}-1},k_{i+2},,\dots,k_{n-1},0}$	M
(3.3)	$\chi_q(\mathcal{T}^{(s)}_{k_1,0,\dots,0,k_j-1,k_{j+1},\dots,k_{n-1},0})\times$ $\times\chi_q(\mathcal{T}^{(s)}_{k_1,0,\dots,0,k_j,k_{j+1},\dots,k_{n-1},0})$	$M=T^{(s)}_{k_1,0,\dots,0,k_j-1,k_{j+1},\dots,k_{n-1},0}\times$ $\times T^{(s)}_{k_1,0,\dots,0,k_j,k_{j+1},\dots,k_{n-1},0}$	$M\prod_{0\leq p\leq r}A^{-1}_{1,-s-2(\sum_{i=j}^{n-1}k_i)-n-2p+2}$, $-1\leq r\leq k_1-1$
(3.3)	$\chi_q(\mathcal{T}^{(s)}_{k_1+1,0,\dots,0,k_j-1,k_{j+1},\dots,k_{n-1},0})\times$ $\times\chi_q(\mathcal{T}^{(s)}_{k_1-1,0,\dots,0,k_j,k_{j+1}\dots,k_{n-1},0})$	$M=T^{(s)}_{k_1+1,0,\dots,0,k_j-1,k_{j+1},\dots,k_{n-1},0}\times$ $\times T^{(s)}_{k_1-1,0,\dots,0,k_j,k_{j+1}\dots,k_{n-1},0}$	$M\prod_{0\leq p\leq r}A^{-1}_{1,-s-2(\sum_{i=j}^{n-1}k_i)-n-2p+2}$, $-1\leq r\leq k_1-2$
(3.3)	$\chi_q(\mathcal{T}^{(s)}_{0,k_1,0,\dots,0,k_j,k_{j+1},\dots,k_{n-1},0})\times$ $\times\chi_q(\mathcal{T}^{(s)}_{0,\dots,0,k_j-1,k_{j+1},\dots,k_{n-1},0})$	$M=T^{(s)}_{0,k_1,0,\dots,0,k_j,k_{j+1},\dots,k_{n-1},0}\times$ $\times T^{(s)}_{0,\dots,0,k_j-1,k_{j+1},\dots,k_{n-1},0}$	M
(3.4)	$\chi_q(\mathcal{T}^{(s)}_{0,\dots,0,\underset{i}{k_i},0,\dots,0,\underset{j}{k_j-1},k_{j+1},\dots,k_{n-1},0})\times$ $\times\chi_q(\mathcal{T}^{(s)}_{0,\dots,0,\underset{i}{k_i},0,\dots,0,\underset{j}{k_j},k_{j+1},\dots,k_{n-1},0})$	$M=T^{(s)}_{0,\dots,0,\underset{i}{k_i},0,\dots,0,\underset{j}{k_j-1},k_{j+1},\dots,k_{n-1},0}\times$ $\times T^{(s)}_{0,\dots,0,\underset{i}{k_i},0,\dots,0,\underset{j}{k_j},k_{j+1},\dots,k_{n-1},0}$	$M\prod_{0\leq p\leq r}A^{-1}_{i,-s-2(\sum_{q=j}^{n-1}k_q)-n-2p+i+1}$, $-1\leq r\leq k_i-1$
(3.4)	$\chi_q(\mathcal{T}^{(s)}_{0,\dots,0,\underset{i}{k_i+1},0,\dots,0,\underset{j}{k_j-1},k_{j+1}\dots,k_{n-1},0})\times$ $\times\chi_q(\mathcal{T}^{(s)}_{0,\dots,0,\underset{i}{k_i-1},0,\dots,0,\underset{j}{k_j},k_{j+1},\dots,k_{n-1},0})$	$M=T^{(s)}_{0,\dots,0,\underset{i}{k_i+1},0,\dots,0,\underset{j}{k_j-1},k_{j+1}\dots,k_{n-1},0}\times$ $\times T^{(s)}_{0,\dots,0,\underset{i}{k_i-1},0,\dots,0,\underset{j}{k_j},k_{j+1},\dots,k_{n-1},0}$	$M\prod_{0\leq p\leq r}A^{-1}_{i,-s-2(\sum_{q=j}^{n-1}k_q)-n-2p+i+1}$, $-1\leq r\leq k_i-2$
(3.4)	$\chi_q(\mathcal{T}^{(s)}_{0,\dots,0,\underset{i+1}{k_i},0,\dots,0,\underset{j}{k_j},k_{j+1},\dots,k_{n-1},0})\times$ $\times\chi_q(\mathcal{T}^{(s)}_{0,\dots,0,\underset{i-1}{k_i},0,\dots,0,\underset{j}{k_j-1},k_{j+1},\dots,k_{n-1},0})$	$M=T^{(s)}_{0,\dots,0,\underset{i+1}{k_i},0,\dots,0,\underset{j}{k_j},k_{j+1},\dots,k_{n-1},0}\times$ $\times T^{(s)}_{0,\dots,0,\underset{i-1}{k_i},0,\dots,0,\underset{j}{k_j-1},k_{j+1},\dots,k_{n-1},0}$	M
(3.5)	$\chi_q(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s)}_{k_1,\dots,k_m-1,0,\dots,0,k_n})\times$ $\times\chi_q(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s-4)}_{k_1,\dots,k_m,0,\dots,0,1,k_n})$	$M=\widetilde{T}^{(s)}_{k_1,\dots,k_m-1,0,\dots,0,k_n}\times$ $\times\widetilde{T}^{(s-4)}_{k_1,\dots,k_m,0,\dots,0,1,k_n}$	$M\prod_{0\leq j\leq r}A^{-1}_{n,s+4k_n-4j-6}$, $-1\leq r\leq k_n-1$
(3.5)	$\chi_q(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s)}_{k_1,\dots,k_m,0,\dots,0,1,k_n-1})\times$ $\times\chi_q(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s-4)}_{k_1,\dots,k_m-1,0,\dots,0,k_n+1})$	$M=\widetilde{T}^{(s)}_{k_1,\dots,k_m,0,\dots,0,1,k_n-1}\times$ $\times\widetilde{T}^{(s-4)}_{k_1,\dots,k_m-1,0,\dots,0,k_n+1}$	$M\prod_{0\leq j\leq r}A^{-1}_{n,s+4k_n-4j-6}$, $-1\leq r\leq k_n-2$
(3.5)	$\chi_q(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s+4k_n)}_{k_1,\dots,k_m-1,0,\dots,0,0})\times$ $\times\chi_q(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s-4)}_{k_1,\dots,k_m,0,\dots,0,2k_n+1,0})$	$M=\widetilde{T}^{(s+4k_n)}_{k_1,\dots,k_m-1,0,\dots,0,0}\times$ $\times\widetilde{T}^{(s-4)}_{k_1,\dots,k_m,0,\dots,0,2k_n+1,0}$	M
(3.6)	$\chi_q(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s)}_{k_1,\dots,k_m,0,\dots,0,k_{n-1}-2,k_n})\times$ $\times\chi_q(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s-4)}_{k_1,\dots,k_m,0,\dots,0,k_{n-1},k_n})$	$M=\widetilde{T}^{(s)}_{k_1,\dots,k_m,0,\dots,0,k_{n-1}-2,k_n}\times$ $\times\widetilde{T}^{(s-4)}_{k_1,\dots,k_m,0,\dots,0,k_{n-1},k_n}$	$M\prod_{0\leq j\leq r}A^{-1}_{n,s+4k_n-4j-6}$, $-1\leq r\leq k_n-1$
(3.6)	$\chi_q(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s)}_{k_1,\dots,k_m,0,\dots,0,k_{n-1},k_n-1})\times$ $\times\chi_q(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s-4)}_{k_1,\dots,k_m,0,\dots,0,k_{n-1}-2,,k_n+1})$	$M=\widetilde{T}^{(s)}_{k_1,\dots,k_m,0,\dots,0,k_{n-1},k_n-1}\times$ $\times\widetilde{T}^{(s-4)}_{k_1,\dots,k_m,0,\dots,0,k_{n-1}-2,,k_n+1}$	$M\prod_{0\leq j\leq r}A^{-1}_{n,s+4k_n-4j-6}$, $-1\leq r\leq k_n-2$
(3.6)	$\chi_q(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s+4k_n)}_{k_1,\dots,k_m,0,\dots,0,k_{n-1}-2,0})\times$ $\times\chi_q(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s-4)}_{k_1,\dots,k_m,0,\dots,0,2k_n+k_{n-1},0})$	$M=\widetilde{T}^{(s+4k_n)}_{k_1,\dots,k_m,0,\dots,0,k_{n-1}-2,0}\times$ $\times\widetilde{T}^{(s-4)}_{k_1,\dots,k_m,0,\dots,0,2k_n+k_{n-1},0}$	M
(3.7)	$\chi_q(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s)}_{k_1,\dots,k_m-1,0,\dots,0,\dots,0,k_n})\times$ $\times\chi_q(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s-4)}_{k_1,\dots,k_m,0,\dots,0,\underset{l}{1},0,\dots,0,k_n})$	$M=\widetilde{T}^{(s)}_{k_1,\dots,k_m-1,0,\dots,0,\dots,0,k_n}\times$ $\times\widetilde{T}^{(s-4)}_{k_1,\dots,k_m,0,\dots,0,\underset{l}{1},0,\dots,0,k_n}$	$M\prod_{0\leq j\leq r}A^{-1}_{n,s+4k_n-4j-6}$, $-1\leq r\leq k_n-1$
(3.7)	$\chi_q(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s)}_{k_1,\dots,k_m,0,\dots,0,\underset{l}{1},0,\dots,0,k_n-1})\times$ $\times\chi_q(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s-4)}_{k_1,\dots,k_m-1,0,\dots,0,\dots,0,k_n+1})$	$M=\widetilde{T}^{(s)}_{k_1,\dots,k_m,0,\dots,0,\underset{l}{1},0,\dots,0,k_n-1}\times$ $\times\widetilde{T}^{(s-4)}_{k_1,\dots,k_m-1,0,\dots,0,\dots,0,k_n+1}$	$M\prod_{0\leq j\leq r}A^{-1}_{n,s+4k_n-4j-6}$, $-1\leq r\leq k_n-2$
(3.7)	$\chi_q(\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}^{(s-4)}_{k_1,\dots,k_m,0,\dots,0,\underset{l}{1},0,\dots,0,2k_n,0})$	$M=\widetilde{S}^{(s-4)}_{k_1,\dots,k_m,0,\dots,0,\underset{l}{1},0,\dots,0,2k_n,0}$	M
(3.8)	$\chi_q(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s)}_{k_1,\dots,k_m,0,\dots,0,k_l-2,0,\dots,0,k_n})\times$ $\times\chi_q(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s-4)}_{k_1,\dots,k_m,0,\dots,0,k_l,0,\dots,0,k_n})$	$M=\widetilde{T}^{(s)}_{k_1,\dots,k_m,0,\dots,0,k_l-2,0,\dots,0,k_n}\times$ $\times\widetilde{T}^{(s-4)}_{k_1,\dots,k_m,0,\dots,0,k_l,0,\dots,0,k_n}$	$M\prod_{0\leq j\leq r}A^{-1}_{n,s+4k_n-4j-6}$, $-1\leq r\leq k_n-1$
(3.8)	$\chi_q(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s)}_{k_1,\dots,k_m,0,\dots,0,k_l,0,\dots,0,k_n-1})\times$ $\times\chi_q(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s-4)}_{k_1,\dots,k_m,0,\dots,0,k_l-2,0,\dots,0,k_n+1})$	$M=\widetilde{T}^{(s)}_{k_1,\dots,k_m,0,\dots,0,k_l,0,\dots,0,k_n-1}\times$ $\times\widetilde{T}^{(s-4)}_{k_1,\dots,k_m,0,\dots,0,k_l-2,0,\dots,0,k_n+1}$	$M\prod_{0\leq j\leq r}A^{-1}_{n,s+4k_n-4j-6}$, $-1\leq r\leq k_n-2$
(3.8)	$\chi_q(\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}^{(s-4)}_{k_1,\dots,k_m,0,\dots,0,k_l,0,\dots,0,2k_n,0})$	$M=\widetilde{S}^{(s-4)}_{k_1,\dots,k_m,0,\dots,0,k_l,0,\dots,0,2k_n,0}$	M

TABLE 1. Classification of dominant monomials in the system in Theorem 3.3.

7.2. **Proof of Theorem 3.3.** By Table 1, the dominant monomials in the q-characters of the left hand side and of the right hand side of every equation in Theorem 3.3 are the same. Therefore Theorem 3.3 is true.

7.3. **Proof of Lemma 7.1.** We prove the case of

$$\chi_q(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m,0,\ldots,0,k_{n-1}-2,k_n})\chi_q(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s-4)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m,0,\ldots,0,k_{n-1},k_n}),$$

where $k_{n-1} \geq 2,\ 1 \leq m \leq n-2$ and $0 \leq k_1 + \cdots + k_m \leq 2$. The other cases are similar. Without loss of generality, suppose that $k_{n-2} = k_{n-3} = 1$ and $s = 0$.

Let

$$\begin{aligned} m_1' &= \widetilde{T}^{(0)}_{0,\ldots,0,1,1,k_{n-1}-2,k_n}, \\ m_2' &= \widetilde{T}^{(-4)}_{0,\ldots,0,1,1,k_{n-1},k_n}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} m_1' =& n_0 n_4 \cdots n_{4k_n-4}(n-1)_{4k_n+1}(n-1)_{4k_n+3}\cdots(n-1)_{4k_n+2k_{n-1}-5} \\ & (n-2)_{4k_n+2k_{n-1}-2}(n-3)_{4k_n+2k_{n-1}+1}, \\ m_2' =& n_{-4} n_0 \cdots n_{4k_n-8}(n-1)_{4k_n-3}(n-1)_{4k_n-1}\cdots(n-1)_{4k_n+2k_{n-1}-5} \\ & (n-2)_{4k_n+2k_{n-1}-2}(n-3)_{4k_n+2k_{n-1}+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $m = m_1 m_2$ be a dominant monomial, where $m_i \in \chi_q(m_i')$, $i = 1, 2$. We denote

$$\begin{aligned} m_3 =& (n-1)_{4k_n+1}(n-1)_{4k_n+3}\cdots(n-1)_{4k_n+2k_{n-1}-5}(n-2)_{4k_n+2k_{n-1}-2}(n-3)_{4k_n+2k_{n-1}+1}, \\ m_4 =& (n-1)_{4k_n-3}(n-1)_{4k_n-1}\cdots(n-1)_{4k_n+2k_{n-1}-5}(n-2)_{4k_n+2k_{n-1}-2}(n-3)_{4k_n+2k_{n-1}+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $m_1 \in \chi_q(n_0 \cdots n_{4k_n-4})(\chi_q(m_3) - m_3)$, then $m = m_1 m_2$ is right negative and hence m is not dominant. This contradicts our assumption. Therefore $m_1 \in \chi_q(n_0 n_4 \cdots n_{4k_n-4})m_3$. Similarly, if $m_2 \in \chi_q(n_{-4} n_0 \cdots n_{4k_n-8})(\chi_q(m_4) - m_4)$, then $m = m_1 m_2$ is right negative and hence m is not dominant. Therefore $m_2 \in \chi_q(n_{-4} n_0 \cdots n_{4k_n-8})m_4$.

Suppose that $m_1 \in \mathscr{M}(\chi_q(m_1')) \cap \mathscr{M}(\chi_q(n_0 n_4 \cdots n_{4k_n-8})(\chi_q(n_{4k_n-4}) - n_{4k_n-4})m_3)$. By the Frenkel-Mukhin algorithm for $\chi_q(m_1')$, m_1 must have the factor $n^{-1}_{4k_n}$. But by the Frenkel-Mukhin algorithm and the fact that $m_2 \in \chi_q(n_{-4} n_0 \cdots n_{4k_n-8})m_4$, m_2 does not have the factor n_{4k_n}. Therefore $m_1 m_2$ is not dominant. Hence $m_1 \in \chi_q(n_0 n_4 \cdots n_{4k_n-8})n_{4k_n-4}m_3$. It follows that $m_1 = m_1'$.

By the Frenkel-Mukhin algorithm and the fact that $m_2 \in \chi_q(n_{-4} n_0 \cdots n_{4k_n-8})m_4$, m_2 must be one of the following monomials,

$$\begin{aligned}
n_1 =& m_2' A^{-1}_{n,4k_n-6}\\
=& n_{-4} n_0 \cdots n_{4k_n-12} n^{-1}_{4k_n-4} (n-1)_{4k_n-7} (n-1)_{4k_n-5}\\
& (n-1)_{4k_n-3} (n-1)_{4k_n-1} \cdots (n-1)_{4k_n+2k_{n-1}-5} (n-2)_{4k_n+2k_{n-1}-2} (n-3)_{4k_n+2k_{n-1}+1},\\
n_2 =& m_2' A^{-1}_{n,4k_n-6} A^{-1}_{n,4k_n-10}\\
=& n_{-4} n_0 \cdots n_{4k_n-16} n^{-1}_{4k_n-8} n^{-1}_{4k_n-4} (n-1)_{4k_n-11} (n-1)_{4k_n-9} (n-1)_{4k_n-7} (n-1)_{4k_n-5}\\
& (n-1)_{4k_n-3} (n-1)_{4k_n-1} \cdots (n-1)_{4k_n+2k_{n-1}-5} (n-2)_{4k_n+2k_{n-1}-2} (n-3)_{4k_n+2k_{n-1}+1},\\
& \cdots\\
n_{k_n} =& m_2' A^{-1}_{n,4k_n-6} A^{-1}_{n,4k_n-10} \cdots A^{-1}_{n,-2}\\
=& n_0^{-1} n_4^{-1} \cdots n^{-1}_{4k_n-4} (n-1)_{-3} (n-1)_{-1} \cdots (n-1)_{4k_n+2k_{n-1}-5} (n-2)_{4k_n+2k_{n-1}-2} (n-3)_{4k_n+2k_{n-1}+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that the dominant monomials in $\chi_q(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(0)}_{0,\ldots,0,1,1,k_{n-1}-2,k_n})\chi_q(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(-4)}_{0,\ldots,0,1,1,k_{n-1},k_n})$ are

$$M = m_1' m_2',\ M_1 = n_1 m_1' = M A^{-1}_{n,4k_n-6},\ M_2 = n_2 m_1' = M \prod_{i=0}^{1} A^{-1}_{n,4k_n-4i-6}, \ldots,$$

$$M_{k_n-1} = n_{k_n-1} m_1' = M \prod_{i=0}^{k_n-2} A^{-1}_{n,4k_n-4i-6},\ M_{k_n} = n_{k_n} m_1' = M \prod_{i=0}^{k_n-1} A^{-1}_{n,4k_n-4i-6}.$$

8. Proof of Theorem 3.6

By Lemma 7.1, we have the following result.

Corollary 8.1. *The modules in the second summand on the right hand side of every equation in Theorem 3.3 are special. In particular, they are simple.*

Therefore in order to prove Theorem 3.6, we only need to prove that the modules in the first summand on the right hand side of every equation of the system in Theorem 3.3 are simple. We will prove that

$$\chi_q(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m,0,\ldots,0,k_{n-1},k_n-1})\chi_q(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s-4)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_m,0,\ldots,0,k_{n-1}-2,k_n+1}), \tag{8.1}$$

where $k_{n-1} \geq 2,\ 1 \leq m \leq n-2$ and $0 \leq k_1 + \cdots + k_m \leq 2$, is simple. The other cases are similar.

The following is the proof of the case of (8.1) in type C_n. Without loss of generality, suppose that $k_{n-2} = k_{n-3} = 1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\widetilde{T}^{(s)}_{0,\ldots,0,1,1,k_{n-1},k_n-1}\\
=& n_s n_{s+4} \cdots n_{s+4k_n-8} (n-1)_{s+4k_n-3} (n-1)_{s+4k_n-1} \cdots (n-1)_{s+4k_n+2k_{n-1}-5}\\
& (n-2)_{s+4k_n+2k_{n-1}-2} (n-3)_{s+4k_n+2k_{n-1}+1},
\end{aligned} \tag{8.2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\widetilde{T}^{(s-4)}_{0,\ldots,0,1,1,k_{n-1}-2,k_n+1}\\
=& n_{s-4} n_s \cdots n_{s+4k_n-8} n_{s+4k_n-4} (n-1)_{s+4k_n+1} (n-1)_{s+4k_n+3} \cdots (n-1)_{s+4k_n+2k_{n-1}-5}\\
& (n-2)_{s+4k_n+2k_{n-1}-2} (n-3)_{s+4k_n+2k_{n-1}+1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{8.3}$$

By Lemma 7.1, the dominant monomials in (8.1) are

$$M_r = M \prod_{0\leq j\leq r} A^{-1}_{n,s+4k_n-4j-6},\ -1 \leq r \leq k_n-2.$$

We need to show that $\mathscr{M}(\chi_q(M_r)) \nsubseteq \mathscr{M}(\chi_q(M))$ for $0 \leq r \leq k_n-2$. We will prove the case of $\mathscr{M}(\chi_q(M_0)) \nsubseteq \mathscr{M}(\chi_q(M))$. The other cases are similar.

$$\begin{aligned}
M_0 =& MA^{-1}_{n,s+4k_n-6}\\
=& n^{-1}_{s+4k_n-4}(n-1)_{s+4k_n-7}(n-1)_{s+4k_n-5}n_s n_{s+4}\cdots n_{s+4k_n-12}\\
& (n-1)_{s+4k_n-3}(n-1)_{s+4k_n-1}\cdots(n-1)_{s+4k_n+2k_{n-1}-5}\\
& n_{s-4}n_s\cdots n_{s+4k_n-4}(n-1)_{s+4k_n+1}\cdots(n-1)_{s+4k_n+2k_{n-1}-5}\\
& (n-2)_{s+4k_n+2k_{n-1}-2}(n-2)_{s+4k_n+2k_{n-1}-2}(n-3)_{s+4k_n+2k_{n-1}+1}(n-3)_{s+4k_n+2k_{n-1}+1}\\
=& (n-1)_{s+4k_n-7}(n-1)_{s+4k_n-5}n_s n_{s+4}\cdots n_{s+4k_n-12}\\
& (n-1)_{s+4k_n-3}(n-1)_{s+4k_n-1}\cdots(n-1)_{s+4k_n+2k_{n-1}-5}\\
& n_{s-4}n_s\cdots n_{s+4k_n-8}(n-1)_{s+4k_n+1}\cdots(n-1)_{s+4k_n+2k_{n-1}-5}\\
& (n-2)_{s+4k_n+2k_{n-1}-2}(n-2)_{s+4k_n+2k_{n-1}-2}(n-3)_{s+4k_n+2k_{n-1}+1}(n-3)_{s+4k_n+2k_{n-1}+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

We will show that $\mathscr{M}(\chi_q(M_0)) \nsubseteq \mathscr{M}(\chi_q(M))$. By $U_q\mathfrak{sl}_2$ argument, the monomial

$$\begin{aligned}
n_1 =& (n-1)_{s+4k_n-7}(n-1)_{s+4k_n-5}n_s n_{s+4}\cdots n_{s+4k_n-12}\\
& (n-1)_{s+4k_n-3}(n-1)_{s+4k_n-1}\cdots(n-1)_{s+4k_n+2k_{n-1}-5}\\
& n_{s-4}n_s\cdots n_{s+4k_n-12}n^{-1}_{s+4k_n-4}(n-1)_{s+4k_n-7}(n-1)_{s+4k_n-5}\\
& (n-1)_{s+4k_n+1}\cdots(n-1)_{s+4k_n+2k_{n-1}-5}(n-2)_{s+4k_n+2k_{n-1}-2}\\
& (n-2)_{s+4k_n+2k_{n-1}-2}(n-3)_{s+4k_n+2k_{n-1}+1}(n-3)_{s+4k_n+2k_{n-1}+1}\\
=& (n-1)^2_{s+4k_n-7}(n-1)^2_{s+4k_n-5}n_s n_{s+4}\cdots n_{s+4k_n-12}\\
& (n-1)_{s+4k_n-3}(n-1)_{s+4k_n-1}\cdots(n-1)_{s+4k_n+2k_{n-1}-5}\\
& n_{s-4}n_s\cdots n_{s+4k_n-12}n^{-1}_{s+4k_n-4}(n-1)_{s+4k_n+1}\cdots(n-1)_{s+4k_n+2k_{n-1}-5}\\
& (n-2)_{s+4k_n+2k_{n-1}-2}(n-2)_{s+4k_n+2k_{n-1}-2}(n-3)_{s+4k_n+2k_{n-1}+1}(n-3)_{s+4k_n+2k_{n-1}+1}\\
=& M_0A^{-1}_{n,s+4k_n-6}\\
=& MA^{-2}_{n,s+4k_n-6}
\end{aligned}$$

is in $\chi_q(M_0)$.

Suppose that $n_1 \in \chi_q(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s)}_{0,\ldots,0,1,1,k_{n-1},k_n-1})\chi_q(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s-4)}_{0,\ldots,0,1,1,k_{n-1}-2,k_n+1})$. Then $n_1 = m_1m_2$, where

$$m_1 \in \chi_q(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s)}_{0,\ldots,0,1,1,k_{n-1},k_n-1}), \quad m_2 \in \chi_q(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s-4)}_{0,\ldots,0,1,1,k_{n-1}-2,k_n+1}).$$

Since $n_1 = MA^{-2}_{n,s+4k_n-6}$, by the expressions (8.2) and (8.3) we must have

$$m_1 = \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(s)}_{0,\ldots,0,1,1,k_{n-1},k_n-1}A^{-1}_{n,s+4k_n-6}.$$

It follows that $m_2 = \widetilde{T}^{(s-4)}_{0,\dots,0,1,1,k_{n-1}-2,k_n+1} A^{-1}_{n,s+4k_n-6}$. But by the Frenkel-Mukhin algorithm and (8.3), $\widetilde{T}^{(s-4)}_{0,\dots,0,1,1,k_{n-1}-2,k_n+1} A^{-1}_{n,s+4k_n-6}$ is not in $\chi_q(\mathcal{T}^{(s-4)}_{0,\dots,0,1,1,k_{n-1}-2,k_n+1})$. This is a contradiction. Hence $\mathscr{M}(\chi_q(M_0)) \not\subseteq \mathscr{M}(\chi_q(M))$.

Acknowledgements

This research is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (no. 11371177, 11401275), and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities of China (no. lzujbky-2015-78).

References

[Car05] R. W. Carter, *Lie algebras of finite and affine type*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, **96**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2005, xviii+632 pp.

[C95] V. Chari, *Minimal affinizations of representations of quantum groups: the rank 2 case*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **31** (1995), no. 5, 873–911.

[CG11] V. Chari, J. Greenstein, *Minimal affinizations as projective objects*, J. Geom. Phys. **61** (2011), no. 3, 594–609.

[CMY13] V. Chari, A. Moura, C. A. S. Young, *Prime representations from a homological perspective*, Math. Z. **274** (2013), 1-2, 613–645

[CP91] V. Chari, A. Pressley, *Quantum affine algebras*, Comm. Math. Phys. **142** (1991), no. 2, 261–283.

[CP94] V. Chari, A. Pressley, *A guide to quantum groups*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1994), xvi+651 pp.

[CP95a] V. Chari, A. Pressley, *Quantum affine algebras and their representations*, Representations of groups (Banff, AB, 1994), 59–78, CMS Conf. Proc., **16**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1995.

[CP95b] V. Chari, A. Pressley, *Minimal affinizations of representations of quantum groups: the nonsimple-laced case*, Lett. Math. Phys. **35** (1995), no. 2, 99–114.

[CP96a] V. Chari, A. Pressley, *Minimal affinizations of representations of quantum groups: the irregular case*, Lett. Math. Phys. **36** (1996), no. 3, 247–266.

[CP96b] V. Chari, A. Pressley, *Minimal affinizations of representations of quantum groups: the simply laced case*, J. Algebra **184** (1996), no. 1, 1–30.

[CP97] V. Chari, A. Pressley, *Factorization of representations of quantum affine algebras*, Modular interfaces (Riverside, CA, 1995), AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math., vol. 4, Amer. Math. Soc., Provdence, RI (1997), 33–40.

[Dri87] V. G. Drinfeld, *Quantum groups*, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. **1**, **2** (Berkeley, Calif., 1986), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (1987), 798–820.

[Dri88] V. G. Drinfeld, *A new realization of Yangians and of quantum affine algebras*, (Russian) Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **296** (1987), no. 1, 13–17; translation in Soviet Math. Dokl. **36** (1988), no. 2, 212–216.

[DLL15] B. Duan, J. R. Li, Y. F. Luo, *On the minimal affinizations of type F_4*, arXiv:1502.02423, 2015, 1–32.

[FM01] E. Frenkel, E. Mukhin, *Combinatorics of q-characters of finite-dimensional representations of quantum affine algebras*, Comm. Math. Phys. **216** (2001), no. 1, 23–57.

[FR98] E. Frenkel, N. Yu. Reshetikin, *The q-characters of representations of quantum affine algebras and deformations of W-algebras*, Recent developments in quantum affine algebras and related topics (Raleigh, NC, 1998), 163–205, Contemp. Math., **248**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999.

[FZ02] S. Fomin, A. Zelevinsky, *Cluster algebras I: Foundations*, J. Amer. math. Soc. **15** (2002), 497–529.

[GG14] J. Grabowski, S. Gratz, *Cluster algebras of infinite rank, with an appendix by Michael Groechenig*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. **89** (2014), no. 2, 337–363.

[Her06] D. Hernandez, *The Kirillov-Reshetikhin conjecture and solutions of T-systems*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **596** (2006), 63–87.

[Her07] D. Hernandez, *On minimal affinizations of representations of quantum groups*, Comm. Math. Phys. **276** (2007), no. 1, 221–259.

- [Her08] D. Hernandez, *Smallness problem for quantum affine algebras and quiver varieties*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Supér. **41** (2008), no. 2, 271–306.
- [HL10] D. Hernandez, B. Leclerc, *Cluster algebras and quantum affine algebras*, Duke Math. J. **154** (2010), no. 2, 265–341.
- [HL13] D. Hernandez, B. Leclerc, *A cluster algebra approach to q-characters of Kirillov-Reshetikhin modules*, arXiv:1303.0744, 1–45.
- [Jim85] M. Jimbo, *A q-difference analogue of* $U(\mathfrak{g})$ *and the Yang-Baxter equation*, Lett. Math. Phys. **10** (1985), no. 1, 63–69.
- [Le10] B. Leclerc, *Cluster algebras and representation theory*, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians. Volume IV (2010). 2471–2488.
- [Li15] J. R. Li, *On the extended T-system of type* C_3, Journal of Algebraic Combinatorics, **41** (2015), 577-617.
- [LM13] J. R. Li, E. Mukhin, *Extended T -system of type* G_2, SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl. **9** (2013), 054, 28pp.
- [M10] A. Moura, *Restricted limits of minimal affinizations*, Pacific J. Math. **244** (2010), no. 2, 359–397.
- [MP07] M. G. Moakes, A. N. Pressley, *q-characters and minimal affinizations*, Int. Electron. J. Algebra **1** (2007), 55–97.
- [MP11] A. Moura, F. Pereira, *Graded limits of minimal affinizations and beyond: the multiplicity free case for type* E_6, Algebra Discrete Math. **12** (2011), no. 1, 69–115.
- [MY12a] E. Mukhin, C. A. S. Young, *Extended T-systems*, Selecta Math.(N.S.), **18** (2012), no. 3, 591–631.
- [MY12b] E. Mukhin, C. A. S. Young, *Path description of type B q-characters*, Adv. Math. **231** (2012), no. 2, 1119–1150.
- [MY14] E. Mukhin, C. A. S. Young, *Affinization of category* $\mathcal{O}$ *for quantum groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **366** (2014), 4815–4847.
- [Nao13] K. Naoi, *Demazure modules and graded limits of minimal affinizations*, Represent. Theory, **17** (2013), 524–556.
- [Nao14] K. Naoi, *Graded limits of minimal affinizations in type D*, SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl. **10** (2014), Paper 047, 20 pp.
- [QL14] L. Qiao, J. R. Li, *Cluster algebras and minimal affinizations of representations of the quantum group of type* G_2, arXiv:1412.3884, 2014, 1–17.
- [SS14] S. V. Sam, *Jacobi-Trudi determinants and characters of minimal affinizations*, Pacific J. Math. **272** (2014), no. 1, 237–244.
- [ZDLL15] Q. Q. Zhang, B. Duan, J. R. Li, Y. F. Luo, *M-systems and cluster algebras*, arXiv:1501.00146, 2015, 1–44.

Xin-Yang Feng: Department of Mathematics, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, P. R. China.
E-mail address: `fengxy12@lzu.edu.cn`

Jian-Rong Li: Department of Mathematics, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, P. R. China.
E-mail address: `lijr@lzu.edu.cn, lijr07@gmail.com`

Yan-Feng Luo: Department of Mathematics, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, P. R. China.
E-mail address: `luoyf@lzu.edu.cn`